

**2015
Vegetation Map Update for
Suisun Marsh,
Solano County, California**

A Report to the California Department of Water Resources

May 2018

**Prepared by:
Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program
Biogeographic Data Branch
California Department of Fish & Wildlife**



**Rachelle Boul, Vegetation Ecologist
Diana Hickson, Senior Vegetation Ecologist
Todd Keeler-Wolf, Senior Vegetation Ecologist
Mary Jo Colletti, Biologist
Aicha Ougzin, Biologist/GIS Analyst**



Executive Summary

This report summarizes the methods and results of the 2015 Suisun Marsh triennial vegetation map update. This update is part of an ongoing monitoring project conducted by the Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program (VegCAMP) of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), in collaboration with the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the CDFW Bay Delta Region. The project tracks changes in the Suisun Marsh vegetation over time to fulfill specific permit requirements of the Suisun Marsh Plan of Protection of 1984 and the Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement of 1986. This is the sixth update using the current mapping standards originally implemented in 1999. All of the vegetation maps (starting with the 1999 map and including the 2015 map on which this report is based), can be viewed and downloaded using the online CDFW Biogeographic Information and Observation System (BIOS); the links to the associated reports are included in the map metadata. The reports are also available from the [VegCAMP report website](#). For detailed information regarding the history and evolution of this project, see Appendix A in the 2012 update report ([Boul and Keeler-Wolf 2016](#)).

The final map contains vegetation and land use polygons ranging from 0.002 acre to 1,737 acres and averaging 2.39 acres. The tidally influenced acreage is covered by 4,388 vegetation polygons; 24,641 vegetation polygons cover the leveed acreage. The total mapped acreage of vegetation changes slightly with each map update due to variations in channel erosion or accretion and the tide level at the time of imagery acquisition.

An Accuracy Assessment was conducted on the 2015 map based on 260 field samples collected in the summer of 2016. The users' accuracy was 79.4% and the producers' accuracy was 83.7% for types with at least three samples. Because the state standard for map accuracy is 80%, we explored using higher levels of the classification hierarchy while still maintaining important information. After consulting with the Environmental Coordination Advisory Team (ECAT), we have reduced the number of map classes. Reassigning map classes per the revised map classification improved producers' accuracy to 85.9% and users' accuracy to 84.3%.

The primary and secondary Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse (SMHM) habitat types listed in the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (DWR 2013) were crosswalked by Bay Delta Region staff to the revised map classification and used to calculate potential habitat for SMHM. The 2015 triennial vegetation update suggests that there are 43,682.5 acres of potential habitat in Suisun Marsh, with approximately 82% of this habitat in leveed areas. The leveed areas have seen a 4.3% decrease in potential habitat since 2012 and a 13.1% decrease since 1999. The tidal areas of the Marsh have seen a 1.9% decrease since 2012, but a 35.4% increase in potential SMHM habitat since 1999. Over the entire marsh, potential habitat is down 3.9% since 2012 and 7.3% since 1999.

This update included an evaluation of the ability to use Worldview-3 satellite imagery as a potential cost savings over the high-resolution imagery acquired by DWR for this project. Comparison of delineations in a pilot area using sample satellite imagery from May 13, 2015 and the 2015 DWR imagery revealed that the lower resolution of the satellite imagery did not allow interpretation of fine-scale patterns. Additionally, the satellite imagery cannot be acquired for a specified time of year or tidal stage and may have up to 15% cloud cover, making it unsuitable for the purposes of the triennial map update.

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	II
INTRODUCTION.....	1
BACKGROUND.....	1
METHODS	3
2015 FIELD DATA COLLECTION	3
SPATIAL ACCURACY IN THE 2015 AERIAL IMAGES.....	3
2015 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH INTERPRETATION, HEADS-UP DIGITIZING AND ATTRIBUTING	3
MAPPING RULES.....	3
MAP ATTRIBUTES.....	4
METHOD FOR ACCURACY ASSESSMENT	4
METHODS FOR ANALYSIS	9
COMPARING AERIAL IMAGERY WITH SATELLITE IMAGERY	11
RESULTS.....	12
MAPPING.....	12
ACCURACY ASSESSMENT	12
VEGETATION CHANGE ANALYSIS	16
<i>Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Habitat</i>	16
<i>Non-Native Species of Concern</i>	18
<i>Comparison of Aerial Imagery with Satellite Imagery</i>	26
DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS	27
COMPARISON OF AERIAL IMAGERY WITH SATELLITE IMAGERY.....	29
FUTURE MAPPING EFFORTS	29
LITERATURE CITED.....	30

Tables

Table 1: Scoring rules and points.....	6
Table 2: Vegetation types mapped in 2015, with the assessed types identified.....	7
Table 3: Total acreage and polygons mapped in the 2015 Suisun Map Update	12
Table 4: Producers' and users' polygon count and average scores per map class (original 2015).....	13
Table 5: Producers' and users' polygon count and average scores per map class (revised 2015).....	15
Table 6: Comparison of mapping of pilot area using satellite vs. aerial photo imagery.....	26

Figures

Figure 1: Location of the Suisun Marsh study area	1
Figure 2: Location of Accuracy Assessment samples within the four management regions in Suisun Marsh	5
Figure 3: The acreage and distribution for the potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat.....	17
Figure 4: The acreage for the potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat, tidal and leveed.....	18
Figure 5: The acreage trend of the non-native species of concern in Suisun Marsh	22
Figure 6: The acreage distribution of the most abundant non-native species of concern across each of the four management regions.....	23
Figure 7: The acreage distribution of the less abundant non-native species of concern across each of the four management regions.....	23
Figure 8: The acreages of noteworthy non-native species of concern, tidal and leveed.....	24
Figure 9: Satellite imagery vs. aerial photograph and mapped polygons for the same location	26
Figure 10: Progression of <i>Phragmites australis</i> cover in Suisun Marsh from 1999 through 2015.	27
Figure 11: <i>Phragmites australis</i> at the Blacklock restoration site	27
Figure 12: Location of apparently non-native <i>Symphotrichum</i> and <i>Dittrichia</i> in Suisun Marsh	28

Appendices

Appendix A: Suisun Marsh Vegetation Classification and Hierarchy
Appendix B: Vegetation Type Descriptions in Suisun Marsh
Appendix C: The vegetation mapping types and mapping units used to map Suisun Marsh
Appendix D: Field Forms and Protocols
Appendix E: 2015 Vegetation Mapping Attributes and Descriptions
Appendix F: Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat by vegetation mapping type
Appendix G: Acreage and acreage change of the potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat
Appendix H: Acreage and acreage change of the non-native species of concern
Appendix I: Accuracy Assessment Contingency Table

Introduction

Background

The Suisun Marsh is located in Solano County, CA and is part of the San Francisco Bay / Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta estuary ecosystem (Figure 1). It is one of the largest contiguous brackish marshes remaining in the United States, covering over 69,000 acres of tidal and managed seasonal wetlands. This Marsh is a key wintering area for waterfowl and supports a number of sensitive plant and animal species. Since 1999, the Marsh has experienced significant variations in salinity conditions, including prolonged droughts. Plant communities can be expected change over time due to these natural environmental factors, but also from direct management activities such as flooding regime changes, weed control, and plantings, as well as from accidental introductions of invasive weeds and levee breaches.



Figure 1: Location of the Suisun Marsh study area.

As part of the monitoring program in the Plan of Protection for Suisun Marsh and as required in the 1981 US Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinion, a Triennial Vegetation Survey is necessary to monitor changes in Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse (SMHM) habitat. A brief history of this survey is provided by [Barthman-Thompson \(2018\)](#).

The current methodology for this survey was adopted in 1999 ([Keeler-Wolf and Vaghti 2000](#)) and uses aerial photography in combination with ground verification to document the overall vegetation composition of the Marsh and to monitor SMHM habitat. The methodology documents changes in preferred habitat for the SMHM and gathers vegetation information for a variety of other purposes. These may include correlating management

activities with vegetation changes, gathering data to support the use of a GIS format that will allow queries and overlaying of additional information, and creation of a base map for future studies.

This methodology followed the National Vegetation Classification (NVC) standards and resulted in a vegetation classification based on quantitative vegetation sampling (Appendix A). The vegetation classification was used to create vegetation type descriptions (Appendix B) and a mapping classification (Appendix C). While the mapping classification is based on the vegetation classification, the mapping classification is limited by what is discernable from the aerial imagery. It also includes mapping units that are not currently accepted NVC vegetation types and mapping units that represent land use or non-vegetated types. Since the 1999 map, there have been updates in 2000, 2003, 2006, 2009, and 2012. This report documents changes based on the 2015 vegetation map update.

As requested by DWR and with Bay Delta Region agreement, for each map update, vegetation change has been analyzed for tidal wetlands, leveed wetlands, and marsh-wide. Tidal wetlands (including muted tidal wetlands) are those areas naturally affected by regular tidal fluctuation. These areas may or may not be vegetated with vascular or non-vascular plants, and may or may not have any evidence of human modification such as ditches, excavations, interrupted levees, or berms. The leveed wetlands are those areas that are completely enclosed and have no natural tidal influence.

The 2000 remap effort ([Vaghti and Keeler-Wolf 2001](#)) was an exploratory change detection study designed to define significant change for vegetation in the Suisun Marsh ecosystem. Less than 1% of the polygons changed between June 16, 1999 and July 2, 2000. These minor changes included a net loss of 65 acres for *Salicornia pacifica* vegetation types, an 18-acre increase in vegetation dominated by *Lepidium latifolium*, and a 143-acre decrease in Annual Grasses. From this exploratory change detection it was determined that the map update process would occur every three years.

The 2003 remap effort ([Vaghti and Keeler-Wolf 2004](#)) showed a 16.8% change in the vegetation across the entire study area since the 1999 product. Medium Wetland Graminoids, *Bolboschoenus maritimus*, Short Wetland Herbs, Medium Wetland Herbs, and *Bolboschoenus maritimus* – *Salicornia pacifica* were the five types with the greatest increase in acreage. *Distichlis spicata*, *Salicornia*, *Distichlis spicata* – Annual Grasses, *Distichlis spicata* – *Salicornia pacifica*, and Open Water were the five types with the greatest decrease in acreage over the study period. Also determined was a 16.7% change in leveed wetland vegetation and a 17.2% change in tidal wetland vegetation.

The 2006 remap study ([Boul and Keeler-Wolf 2008](#)) used the 1999 vegetation map as the baseline and followed the 2000 and 2003 change detection methodology. Several vegetation changes found in the 2006 update were of note: 1) a 174% increase in flooded wetlands (due to severe storms resulting in levee breaches), 2) a net loss of 945 acres of *Salicornia pacifica* vegetation types since 1999, 3) a net gain of 780 acres of *Phragmites australis* since 1999, 580 acres of which established since 2003, and 4) acreage decrease or stabilization of several of the non-native species of concern. Several issues with the remapping process and change detection protocol emerged and VegCAMP suggested changes to the protocol. These were implemented in the 2009 vegetation remap.

The 2009 remap study ([VegCAMP 2012](#)) used an updated protocol developed to accommodate advances in available technology and mitigate for past inconsistencies; we discontinued modification of polygons, but instead mapped *de novo*. The protocol update is discussed in detail in Appendix A in [Boul and Keeler-Wolf \(2016\)](#). The 2009 remap showed that potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat (*Salicornia pacifica* dominated vegetation) had increased since 1999 and that two non-native species of concern, *Phragmites australis* and *Lepidium latifolium*, were still increasing within the Marsh. Interestingly, in the leveed areas of the Marsh, both *Phragmites australis* and *Salicornia pacifica* vegetation seemed to be increasing the most where there had been open water in 2006.

The 2012 remap study ([Boul and Keeler-Wolf 2016](#)) showed a marsh-wide 7% increase in potential habitat for SMHM since 2009 and 1% increase since 1999. By 2012, *Phragmites australis* had increase threefold in acreage since the first map in 1999. The increase has been in the non-native genotype. Stands dominated by *Lepidium latifolium* showed a marsh-wide decrease in total acreage since 1999, but showed a 63% increase in the tidal habitats of the Marsh.

Methods

2015 Field Data Collection

This mapping cycle included the collection of 340 reconnaissance points that captured data for 406 vegetation polygons using the Reconnaissance Field Form and Protocol presented in Appendix D. These were collected in June and July of 2015, except for two collected in May of 2016.

Spatial Accuracy in the 2015 Aerial Images

In 2009, staff from DWR's Mapping & Photogrammetry Section measured the positions of permanent residual features identified both in photographs and on the ground using Garmin 76CSx handheld GPS units. The published accuracy for these units is typically within ± 5 meters. Fifty-one control points were measured and used to process the 2009 imagery, resulting in an orthomosaic that closely agreed with National Agricultural Imagery Program (NAIP) digital orthorectified quarter quadrangle (DOQQ) aerial photography. The same method and control points were used to process the 2012 and 2015 imagery, producing spatially comparable vegetation maps. Refer to the 2009 update report ([VegCAMP 2012](#)) for a complete description of the methods used for improving the spatial accuracy of the imagery.

2015 Aerial Photograph Interpretation, Heads-up Digitizing and Attributing

To create the 2015 Suisun Marsh vegetation map, vegetation was interpreted from a mosaic of the true color imagery that was flown at a scale of 1:9600 on June 15, 2015 and delivered to VegCAMP in October 2015. Polygons were delineated using heads-up digitizing (i.e., a photo interpreter manually drew polygons around each stand of vegetation) in Esri's ArcMAP 10.3 and polygon attributes were recorded within a personal geodatabase.

All attributes were interpreted using the Suisun Marsh 2015 imagery as the base imagery. The photo interpreters used all available ancillary information to make the best decision for each attribute. Information was obtained from sources such as field surveys, reconnaissance points, and field photos from all mapping years. Several other imagery sources were used as ancillary data, including the 2015 NAIP, 2015 NAIP Color Infrared, all imagery available through Google Earth (including street view), Bing, and Digital Globe, and the 1999, 2003, 2006, 2009, and 2012 Suisun Marsh imagery.

The mapping area was divided between two photo interpreters. Each photo interpreter then checked a portion of the other's delineations and attributions, and a senior ecologist then checked the work of both interpreters. With both field checks and office review, 1,629 polygons were quality-checked.

Mapping Rules

Minimum mapping unit (MMU): Typically, the minimum mapping size is 0.25 acre. However, the photo interpreters use their best judgment to determine if a stand below 0.25 acre should be separately delineated. For example, a smaller polygon would be appropriate for any new occurrence of a non-native species of concern, such as

Phragmites australis, *Arundo donax*, *Carpobrotus edulis*, *Eucalyptus* spp., and *Lepidium latifolium*. The minimum mapping unit was 0.009 acre (400 square feet) for the Blacklock restoration project area.

Minimum mapping width: There are many long narrow polygons within the Suisun Marsh study area, most of which are roads, ditches, levees, and sloughs. The minimum mapping width is typically 10 feet; however, if small sections of a stand fell below the minimum width, the polygon was not split.

Map Attributes

The vegetation mapping classification used for this project is based on the vegetation classification created in 1999 (Keeler-Wolf and Vaghti 2000). The names of the mapping units have been updated over the years to reflect current nomenclature and/or current understanding of vegetation classification. We used the same map classes for the 2015 map as were used as for the 2012 map for the original mapping. However, as discussed in detail under Accuracy Assessment below, we combined some classes that we could not consistently distinguish on the imagery to create a revised classification. The 2015 map has both the 2012 attributes and the crosswalked, revised 2015 type attributes. See Appendix C for a list of all the mapping types and a crosswalk of the map classes since 1999.

In addition to the mapping unit, several other attributes are assigned to each polygon: percent vegetation cover, average vegetation height, degree of human disturbance, method of interpretation, habitat, and management region. For this update, we have added an attribute denoting the presence of *Lepidium latifolium* in the polygon, as discussed further below. For more information about each attribute, see Appendix E.

Method for Accuracy Assessment

To validate the vegetation map, VegCAMP conducted an Accuracy Assessment this mapping cycle. An accuracy assessment analysis helps the map users determine how much confidence can be assigned to each of the map units, and provides an understanding of the map's appropriateness for various applications. Federal Geographic Data Committee standards (FGDC 2008) require 80% accuracy for vegetation maps.

Accuracy Assessment (AA) sample allocation employed an analysis that balanced three goals: achieving target levels of samples based on budgeted staff time for conducting the AA, distributing the samples amongst the vegetated mapping classes, and facilitating access to vegetation polygons based on land ownership and access efficiency.

Fieldwork for the AA was done in the summer of 2016, before the 2015 vegetation map was complete. This restricted the allocation to approximately 65% of the project area. Additionally, over 80% of Suisun Marsh is privately owned, also limiting access for AA. It was crucial that access to private properties be acquired in order to conduct a valid Accuracy assessment. The Suisun Resource Conservation District (SRCD) was invaluable in getting VegCAMP permission to access private properties.

The first step in the allocation process was to select polygons that were on publicly accessible properties or on private properties that we were likely to receive permission to access. Within the resulting subset, polygons that had been previously field visited in 2015 were excluded. Then polygons were selected that were within 500 meters of the roads layer or the digitized road polygons from the vegetation map. Polygons from Ryer Island, which has no roads but is accessible by boat, were also included.

The accessible polygons were then used as geographic input to the Generalized Random Tesselation Stratified (GRTS) function (Kincaid and Olsen, 2011) of the R statistical package. A set of spatially balanced, stratified sample points was generated based on the Suisun Marsh mapping classification. The polygons that contained the GRTS points were selected as the initial accuracy assessment allocation.

In order to determine if a vegetation type had achieved the required 80% accuracy, at least five polygons should be assessed. Vegetation types with fewer than five polygons were either eliminated from the allocation or consolidated into a higher level of the classification hierarchy.

After the allocation process was complete, a priority level was assigned to each polygon based on its vegetation type. Vegetation types where five polygons had been allocated were assigned the highest priority. Types with six to nine allocated polygons were given intermediate priority. All types with more than nine allocated polygons were considered low priority. Priority levels were incorporated into field maps to help staff manage the time they would spend accessing particular polygons. In total, 359 polygons were allocated.

To prevent bias, paper and digital maps prepared for AA field crews did not include the vegetation type or other map attributes. Additionally, only the polygons to be assessed were shown on the maps so that the shape of surrounding polygons would not influence the field crews. Field data were collected on Apple iPads using the Esri Collector application. See Appendix D for the form and protocol used for collecting accuracy assessment data.

The AA surveys evaluated both the type and the extent of the polygon when possible. When a mapped polygon could be divided due to the presence of multiple types within the given MMU standards, an assessment was done for each type. Total vegetation cover and height were recorded as well.

VegCAMP and Bay Delta Branch staff collected 290 accuracy assessment points (Figure 2) from May to September 2016 using the Accuracy Assessment form and protocol in Appendix D.




Figure 2: Location of Accuracy Assessment samples within the four management regions in Suisun Marsh.

In the office, data from the field AA forms were entered into an Access database that allowed the vegetation type recorded by the photo interpreter (PI) to be scored using the field surveys. The photo interpreters did not score their own mapped polygons. Cover and height attributes were not scored, but were provided as feedback to the PIs. If the field crews could not identify the vegetation type based on the field key, then staff assigned the correct type in the office when possible, based on the species' covers recorded, any additional notes taken by the field crews, and field photos. All field calls were reviewed and a "Final call" was recorded in the database when possible.

A fuzzy logic method was used to score each AA point, rather than simply denoting whether a sample was correct or incorrect (Gopal and Woodcock 1994; Congalton and Green 1999; Foody 2002; Hagen 2003; Metzler and Sader 2005). Each field-verified polygon was scored according to the set of decision rules listed in Table 1, with a total of 5 points possible for each. Scores were summed for each vegetation type, then divided by the total possible score and multiplied by 100 for a percent accuracy. The scores were provided back to the mapper so that specific and systematic errors could be corrected.

Table 1: Scoring rules and points

Code	Reason For Score	Score
A	PI completely correct.	5
B	The PI chose the correct Group OR the next level up in the hierarchy.	4
C	Threshold/transition between PI call and Final call. This was used when cover values of the dominant or indicator species were close to the values that would key to the PI's type.	4
D	Correct Macrogroup OR next level up in hierarchy.	3
E	Based on close ecological similarity. Ecological similarity addresses assessed and mapped calls that contained vegetation with overlapping diagnostic species but not technically closely related in the NVC hierarchy.	3
F	Correct Division.	2
G	Some floristic/hydrologic similarity. This addresses cases in which the mapped and the assessed vegetation type had different diagnostic species, but bore some similarity in ecological traits.	2
H	Correct only at Lifeform.	1
I	No similarity above Formation and incorrect life form.	0
J	Survey removed because there was a significant change in the polygon (e.g., the stand was burned, developed, or cleared since the date of the base imagery).	no score
K	Survey removed because an inadequate portion (<10%) of the polygon was viewed by the field crew.	no score
L	Survey removed because field/PI data are incomplete, inadequate or confusing (e.g., cover values were not provided for key species in the stand).	no score
M	Supplementary record not scored (for multiple point assessments within a polygon where the AA call was the same).	no score

During analysis, 30 of the points were removed for one of the reasons J through M given in Table 1. Of the 108 types mapped, 69 were assessed (Table 2). Ideally, every mapped type would be thoroughly assessed, but this was not possible given the limited access to private land and the fact that the vegetation map was not complete at the time the AA field work was conducted. Not all types were found in the mapped area at the time of AA; some types were found in numbers that were too low to analyze and some were inaccessible.

Table 2: Vegetation types mapped in 2015, with the assessed types identified. These same types were used for the 2012 map update. The list is ordered alphabetically by mapping type; non-vegetation types are located at the end of the list.

Mapping Type	Assessed
<i>Alisma triviale</i> Mapping Unit	No
<i>Arundo donax</i> Association	No
<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Alliance	No
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Association	No
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – Annual Grasses Association	No
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	Yes
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	No
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> / Annual Grasses Association	Yes
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Baccharis salicifolia</i> Alliance	No
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	Yes
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	Yes
<i>Brassica nigra</i> Association	Yes
<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> Association	No
<i>Conium maculatum</i> Association	Yes
<i>Cortaderia selloana</i> Association	No
<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	Yes
<i>Crypsis schoenoides</i> Association	Yes
Cultivated Annual Graminoid Mapping Unit	No
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – Annual Grasses Association	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus balticus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus balticus</i> – <i>Triglochin</i> sp. – <i>Glaux maritima</i> Association	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	Yes
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Elytrigia pontica</i> Association	Yes
<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Festuca perennis</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Festuca perennis</i> – <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> Semi-Natural Association	No
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Association	No

Mapping Type	Assessed
<i>Frankenia salina</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Frankenia salina</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	Yes
<i>Grindelia stricta</i> Association	No
<i>Juncus arcticus</i> (var. <i>balticus</i> , <i>mexicanus</i>) Alliance	Yes
<i>Juncus balticus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Juncus balticus</i> – <i>Conium maculatum</i> Association	Yes
<i>Juncus balticus</i> – <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	Yes
Landscape Trees Mapping Unit	Yes
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	Yes
<i>Leymus triticoides</i> Alliance	Yes
Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	Yes
Medium Upland Shrubs Mapping Unit	No
<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Phalaris aquatica</i> Association	No
<i>Phragmites australis</i> Association	Yes
<i>Phragmites australis</i> Alliance	No
<i>Phragmites australis</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> Association	Yes
<i>Phragmites australis</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> Association	No
<i>Polygonum</i> spp. – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> Association	Yes
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> Association	No
<i>Raphanus sativus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Rosa californica</i> Association	No
<i>Rosa californica</i> – <i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Association	Yes
<i>Rubus discolor</i> Association	Yes
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> (<i>Salicornia depressa</i>) Alliance	Yes
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	Yes
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – Annual Grasses Association	Yes
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Association	Yes
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	Yes
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Crypsis schoenoides</i> Association	No
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Polygonum</i> spp. – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> Association	Yes
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	Yes
<i>Salix exigua</i> Association	No
<i>Salix laevigata</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i> Association	No
<i>Salsola Soda</i> Provisional Alliance	Yes
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Rosa californica</i> Association	Yes
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Association	Yes
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – Wetland Herbs Association	Yes

Mapping Type	Assessed
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	Yes
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	Yes
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Potentilla anserina</i> Association	Yes
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> Association	No
<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	Yes
<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	No
<i>Spergularia</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	Yes
<i>Stuckenia pectinata</i> Association	No
<i>Tamarix</i> spp. Semi-Natural Alliance	No
Temperate Pacific Intertidal Flat Group	No
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> (dead stalks) Mapping Unit	No
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Alliance	Yes
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Association	Yes
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	Yes
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Phragmites australis</i> Association	Yes
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Polygonum</i> sp. – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> Association	No
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	Yes
Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit	Yes
Bare Ground Mapping Unit	Yes
Ditch Mapping Unit	No
Open Water Mapping Unit	No
Parking Lot Mapping Unit	No
Railroad Track Mapping Unit	No
Road Mapping Unit	No
Slough Mapping Unit	No
Structure Mapping Unit	No
Tidal Mudflat Mapping Unit	No
Trail Mapping Unit	No
Urban Area Mapping Unit	No

Methods for Analysis

As previously mentioned, DWR and Bay Delta Region have requested that change analysis be done for tidal wetlands, leveed wetlands, and marsh-wide. To determine the areas within the Marsh that are tidally influenced versus areas that are leveed (or managed), the habitat shapefile (Suisun_Regions_habitats_ver2), created by CDFW in 2008, was used as a reference. The basis for the habitat shapefile was the Bay Area Aquatic Resources Inventory (BAARI) Basemap (SFEI 2011) from the San Francisco Estuary Institute (SFEI). The habitat shapefile is updated each mapping year with input from CDFW and SRCD staff familiar with Suisun Marsh to keep it as current as possible. However, due to its coarse scale and poor spatial accuracy, a simple spatial analysis cannot be performed. Rather, we decided that a cleaner approach would be to include the “habitat” distinction as an

attribute for each polygon. To determine the tidal areas in 2015, the polygons that were contained completely within the “tidal” habitat polygons from the 2008 CDFW Suisun_Regions_habitats_ver2 shapefile were given the habitat attribute “tidal.” Those polygons that intersected the outline of the “tidal” habitat polygons from the 2008 CDFW shapefile were examined by the photo interpreter to determine if they were tidally influenced or leveed and were attributed accordingly. Sloughs were attributed separately as “sloughs.” All remaining polygons were considered leveed and therefore given a habitat attribute “leveed.” The same procedure was applied to the 1999 and 2012 vegetation maps in order to compare them to the 2015 map.

In addition to the separation between leveed and tidal wetlands, Suisun Marsh is geographically divided into four management regions (Figure 2). These regions are described in the Suisun Marsh Habitat Management, Preservation, and Restoration Plan ([USDI Bureau of Reclamation et al. 2013](#)) and were received by VegCAMP from the CDFW Water Branch in the form of a shapefile. Much like the habitat shapefile, the management region shapefile was drawn at a coarse scale with poor spatial accuracy. Therefore, a combination of spatial queries and photo interpretation was performed to accurately attribute each polygon with the management region in which it resides.

As discussed under Results below, the Accuracy Assessment led us to make changes to the mapping classification, mostly through lumping categories that were not reliably distinguished by their photo signatures. Before we conducted change analysis, we crosswalked the 1999 and 2012 maps to the revised 2015 classification.

The vegetation map was checked for changes in stand-forming non-native species of concern between 1999 and 2015 and between 2012 and 2015. The analysis was performed marsh-wide (total area, leveed habitats, and tidal habitats) and within the four management regions (total area, leveed habitats, and tidal habitats). The non-native species of concern are as follows: *Arundo donax*, *Carpobrotus edulis*, *Cortaderia selloana*, *Eucalyptus* species, *Lepidium latifolium*, *Phragmites australis* (predominantly the non-native strain), and *Salsola soda*. These species are represented by the following mapping units:

Arundo donax Semi-Natural Association
Carpobrotus edulis or Other Ice Plants Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance
Cortaderia (jubata, selloana) Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance
Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis) Woodland Semi-Natural Alliance
Lepidium latifolium Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance
Phragmites australis Herbaceous Alliance
Salsola soda Mapping Unit

As discussed in the 2012 map update report, the photo interpretability of *Lepidium latifolium* relies on the distinctive white flowers being present at the time that the aerial imagery is acquired. While we mitigate for this limitation by having the imagery flown in June (mid-flowering period), genetic and site variability are such that all the *L. latifolium* in the Marsh does not flower at the same time. This means that not all of the vegetation supporting *L. latifolium* is being reported. For the 2015 map update, we have further tried to mitigate this by including an attribute that allows the mapper to indicate if *Lepidium latifolium* is evident in the polygon at any level. This means that not only *Lepidium* types are calculated in the acreage, but also stands of vegetation that support *Lepidium* at any visible level are included. We then added this attribute to the 1999 and 2012 maps and gave it a value of “present” if *Lepidium* was in the name of the map type.

Additionally, the Accuracy Assessment revealed that we could not reliably identify *Conium maculatum* or *Foeniculum vulgare* on the imagery, two species we had previously analyzed. We combined these types into the Mediterranean Grasses Group, and selected polygons of this type that were over 1 meter tall, which would exclude most of the other species that would fall into this group.

We did not map any *Centaurea solstitialis* in the 2015 map update; either it was not present or was not distinguishable.

At the time of the 2000 and 2003 Suisun Marsh vegetation change detection, less specific information was known about the habitat requirements for the protected Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse (*Reithrodontomys raviventris halicoetes*) in Suisun Marsh. Ten *Salicornia pacifica* (or “pickleweed”) vegetation types or mapping units were collectively considered important habitat for the Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse (SMHM) in 2003 (Vaghti and Keeler-Wolf 2004). Since then, biologists have gained a better understanding of the habitat requirements of the SMHM and have considerably broadened the definition of what is considered SMHM habitat. For this analysis, Bay Delta staff specified the 2015 revised mapping classes that should be considered potential habitat. See Appendix F for the full list of mapping units that are considered potential SMHM habitat.

Vegetation change detection analysis for SMHM habitat and non-native species of concern was performed marsh-wide (separately for the total area, leveed areas, and tidal areas) and for each of the four management regions shown in Figure 2 (separately for the total area, leveed areas, and tidal areas). The percent change (acreage) was calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{(\text{Current Year Acreage} - \text{Previous Acreage})}{\text{Previous Acreage}} * 100$$

As stated earlier, before we conducted change analysis, we crosswalked the 1999 and 2012 maps to the revised 2015 classification, so all comparisons use the same classification. See Appendices G and H for vegetation change in the SMHM habitat and non-native species of concern.

Comparing Aerial Imagery with Satellite Imagery

We evaluated the use of Worldview-3 satellite imagery instead of DWR’s custom-flown, high-resolution imagery for potential cost savings for the project. We obtained a sample of the satellite imagery from May 13, 2015 (the closest available date to the DWR imagery) with a 0.3-meter panchromatic band resolution and 1.24-meter multi-spectral resolution. We mapped the same 106-acre area of the Marsh using the satellite imagery and the DWR imagery, and then compared the maps.

Results

Mapping

The mapped project area in 2015 was 91,495 acres. The Suisun Bay made up 24.2% of this area, tidal sloughs made up 3.2%, and areas mapped as vegetation or land use (such as roads or development) made up 72.6% (Table 3). Of the vegetation and land use area, 15.1% was tidally influenced and the rest was leveed. The vegetation and land use polygons range from 0.002 acre to 1,737 acres and average 2.39 acres in size.

The 2012 map update report (Boul and Keeler-Wolf 2016) described and explained the discrepancies in the total acreage mapped in the previous years. These differences were corrected this year by filling in gaps between the mapped area and project boundary in each of the 1999 through 2006 maps. The total mapped acreage of vegetation still changes slightly with each map update due to the tide level at the time the imagery was acquired and channel erosion or accretion since the area was last mapped.

Table 3: Total acreage and polygons mapped in the 2015 Suisun Map Update. This table is based on the values in the “habitat” attribute.

Area mapped as:	Acres	Polygons
Suisun Bay	22,141	1
Sloughs	2,886	4
Tidal vegetation/land use	10,061	4,388
Leveed vegetation/land use	56,407	24,641
Total project area	91,495	29,034

Accuracy Assessment

Two forms of accuracy (users' and producers') can be estimated from the data (Story and Congalton 1986). Users' accuracy provides an estimate of commission error, or how well spatial mapping data actually represent what is found on the ground, i.e., if the user goes to a location mapped as a certain class, what is the probability it is in fact that class? Producers' accuracy, on the other hand, measures omission error, or the probability that vegetation of a given class in the field is mapped as that class. Producers' accuracy may inform the mappers if a mapping class may even be detected (Story and Congalton 1986, Lea and Curtis 2010).

A contingency table for this accuracy assessment is provided in Appendix I. Each row in the table represents a type as mapped by the PIs (producers); by reading across the row, one can see what the field surveyors (users) assessed the mapped polygon types to be on the ground. Numbers on the diagonal are correct calls by the PIs. The table displays the numbers of assessed polygons by type, and does not incorporate fuzzy scores.

General guidelines for mapping projects in California were used for this map accuracy assessment. However, with an uneven probability of accessibility and uneven mapped frequency distribution for the types, it was not possible to obtain the desired minimum number of samples for each of the map units, so we report all types with three or more producers' or users' samples in Table 4. The average producers' accuracy was 83.7% and the average users' accuracy was 79.4%.

Table 4: Producers' and users' polygon count and average closeness-of-fit (fuzzy) scores per map class. Polygon counts may be found in the contingency table in Appendix I. The vegetation types are those on the original 2015 map, which correspond to the hierarchy used in 2012. The table is ordered alphabetically.

Vegetation Type (Original 2012 hierarchy)	Producers' Count	Producers' Accuracy	Users' Count	Users' Accuracy
<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i> Alliance	4	100.0	4	100.0
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Alliance	2	60.0	5	60.0
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> / Annual Grass–Herb Association	11	92.6	8	100.0
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Alliance	2	100.0	4	90.0
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	8	67.4	0	n/a
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	3	60.0	0	n/a
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Alliance	1	100.0	7	82.8
<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	1	100.0	7	71.4
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – Annual Grasses Association	5	100.0	9	86.6
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	2	90.0	7	68.4
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus balticus</i> – <i>Triglochin</i> spp. – <i>Glaux maritima</i> Mapping Unit	13	81.4	7	94.2
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> Mapping Unit	3	66.6	0	n/a
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	5	72.0	4	80.0
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Mapping Unit	6	73.2	7	80.0
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Alliance	4	70.0	3	73.2
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	5	80.0	8	82.4
<i>Elytrigia pontica</i> Mapping Unit	3	60.0	0	n/a
<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Association	4	100.0	5	92.0
<i>Frankenia salina</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	3	86.6	6	76.6
<i>Frankenia salina</i> Alliance	7	85.6	3	100.0
<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> Alliance	4	40.0	0	n/a
<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> Association	0	n/a	6	53.2
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Alliance	3	93.2	5	68.0
<i>Leymus triticoides</i> Alliance	4	80.0	3	100.0
<i>Lolium multiflorum</i> Alliance	5	76.0	0	n/a
Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	1	100.0	10	76.0
<i>Phragmites australis</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus</i> spp. Association	0	n/a	5	80.0
<i>Phragmites australis</i> Association	14	84.2	6	100.0
<i>Rosa californica</i> – <i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Association	4	100.0	4	100.0
<i>Rubus discolor</i> Association	2	100.0	4	65.0
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – Annual Grasses Association	2	100.0	6	90.0
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Association	7	85.6	8	75.0
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Polygonum</i> spp. – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> Association	0	n/a	4	65.0
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	11	83.6	8	92.4
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Alliance	3	66.6	9	75.4

Vegetation Type (Original 2012 hierarchy)	Producers' Count	Producers' Accuracy	Users' Count	Users' Accuracy
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	20	85.0	10	94.0
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	11	85.4	6	83.2
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Rosa californica</i> Association	2	100.0	4	90.0
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Mapping Unit	12	70.0	7	77.0
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> Asspcoatopm	1	100.0	4	85.0
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	4	100.0	10	82.0
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Potentilla anserina</i> Association	1	80.0	7	57.0
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Alliance	9	68.8	0	n/a
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	4	90.0	7	74.2
<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	3	73.2	4	70.0
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	0	n/a	4	55.0
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Phragmites australis</i> Association	1	100.0	4	65.0
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	6	73.2	5	76.0
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Association	8	85.0	7	82.8
Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit	0	n/a	3	46.6
Average Accuracy	-	83.7	-	79.4

Note that the accuracy scores reported refer to the “pre-AA” polygons that were mapped of each type. Once the scoring was completed, VegCAMP made corrections to types and to delineations based on notes from the field.

Types with the highest scores in both users' and producers' accuracy include the tree and shrub types *Eucalyptus globulus* Association, *Atriplex lentiformis* Alliance, *Baccharis pilularis* Alliance, *Baccharis pilularis* / Annual Grasses Association, and *Rosa californica* – *Baccharis pilularis* Association. This is not surprising because there are few woody types in Suisun Marsh and most have unique signatures. However, two herbaceous types also scored very high, including the *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* – *Rosa californica* Association and *Salicornia pacifica* – Annual Grasses Association. In fact, all associations of *Salicornia pacifica* scored high, except the *Salicornia pacifica* – *Polygonum* spp. – *Xanthium strumarium* – *Echinochloa crus-galli* Association. This last type was confused with two types of *Bolboschoenus maritimus* or was attributed to *Salicornia pacifica* Alliance. Interestingly, the *Salicornia pacifica* Alliance did not score particularly high. The Alliance was chosen when the mapper wasn't sure of association, indicating it was a difficult or mixed polygon that might even be hard to key on the ground. Similarly, the associations of *Distichlis spicata* scored high in either the users', producers', or both categories, but the *Distichlis spicata* Alliance type did not score well. Other herbaceous types that scored well include *Frankenia salina* Alliance, *Leymus triticoides* Alliance, and *Bolboschoenus maritimus* Alliance.

Producers' accuracy for *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* Alliance was very low; the mappers missed this type 60% of the time. This Alliance is used when *Juncus arcticus* mixes with other plants, in which case it is not dense enough to appear on the imagery. This likely explains the low score. However, the users' accuracy for the pure *Juncus balticus* Association was also low, with the mappers correctly calling a polygon this type only 53% of the time. There was no pattern in the types with which the *Juncus balticus* Association was confused. Wetland Herbs is a mapping type used when the mappers are unsure of the species mix, so it is not surprising that the users' accuracy was only 46.6%. When these samples were visited on the ground, the biologists could identify the types and would not use the generic “Wetland Herbs.”

Because the state standard for map accuracy is 80%, VegCAMP explored the use of higher levels of the classification hierarchy while still maintaining important information. Some hard-to-distinguish vegetation types were consolidated to higher levels of the hierarchy. After consulting with the Environmental Coordination Advisory Team (ECAT), the number of map classes was reduced from 139 to 88. Reassigning map classes per the revised map classification improved producers' accuracy to 85.9% and users' accuracy to 84.3% (Table 5). The reduction in map classes does not result in a loss of important information, but more realistically reflects how well the types can be discerned. A crosswalk from the 2012 classification to the revised 2015 classification is presented in Appendix C.

Table 5: Producers' and users' polygon count and average closeness-of-fit (fuzzy) scores per map class. The vegetation types correspond to the revised 2015 hierarchy.

Vegetation Type (Revised 2015 hierarchy)	Producers' Count	Producers' Accuracy	Users' Count	Users' Accuracy
<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i> Alliance	4	100.0	4	100.0
<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	6	53.3	12	66.7
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> / Annual Grasses Association	11	92.7	8	100.0
<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Alliance	2	100.0	4	90.0
<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Alliance	13	81.5	7	100.0
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Alliance	8	70.0	3	80.0
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	5	80.0	8	82.5
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – Annual Grasses Association	5	100.0	9	86.7
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	2	90.0	7	68.6
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus balticus</i> – <i>Triglochin</i> spp. – <i>Glaux maritima</i> Association	13	81.5	7	94.3
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	5	72.0	4	80.0
<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	6	73.3	7	80.0
<i>Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis)</i> Semi-Natural Alliance	4	100.0	5	92.0
<i>Frankenia salina</i> Alliance	7	85.7	3	100.0
<i>Frankenia salina</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	3	86.7	6	76.7
<i>Juncus arcticus</i> (var. <i>balticus</i> , <i>mexicanus</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	6	53.3	6	56.7
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Alliance	3	100.0	6	73.3
<i>Leymus triticoides</i> Alliance	4	80.0	3	100.0
Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	14	85.7	10	100.0
<i>Phragmites australis</i> Alliance	14	94.3	11	100.0
<i>Rosa californica</i> – <i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Association	4	100.0	4	100.0
<i>Rubus discolor</i> Association	2	100.0	4	65.0
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> (<i>Salicornia depressa</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	10	84.0	21	78.1
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	20	85.0	10	94.0
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – Annual Grasses Association	2	100.0	6	90.0
<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	11	83.6	8	92.5
<i>Salicornia virginica</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	11	87.3	6	86.7
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> Mapping Unit	1	100.0	4	85.0
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Rosa californica</i> Association	2	100.0	4	90.0

Vegetation Type (Revised 2015 hierarchy)	Producers' Count	Producers' Accuracy	Users' Count	Users' Accuracy
<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Association	12	70.0	7	88.6
<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Alliance	18	86.7	24	76.7
<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Provisional Association	3	73.3	4	70.0
Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation	0	n/a	3	46.7
<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Alliance	17	85.9	21	74.3
Average Accuracy	-	85.9	-	84.3

Vegetation Change Analysis

As discussed in the Methods section, we analyzed vegetation change by crosswalking the 1999 and 2012 vegetation maps to the revised 2015 classes and then comparing changes in acreage. Thus, the original numbers of acres for a given type in 1999 or 2012 in this report will not match numbers in previous reports for map classes that were merged.

Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Habitat

At the time of the 2000 and 2003 Suisun Marsh vegetation change detection, less specific information was known about the habitat requirements for the protected Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse in Suisun Marsh (*Reithrodontomys raviventris halicoetes*). Ten *Salicornia pacifica* (or “pickleweed”) vegetation types or mapping units were collectively considered important habitat for the SMHM in 2003. Since then, biologists have gained a better understanding of the habitat requirements of the Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse (SMHM) and have broadened the definition of what is considered SMHM habitat considerably (Sustaita et al. 2011). Refer to Appendix F for the full list of vegetation mapping units that are considered Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse (SMHM) habitat for this report.

Marsh-wide: Figure 3, Appendix G

Of the 66,468 acres of vegetation/land use mapped in the Marsh in 2015, 66% (43,683 acres) is considered potential SMHM habitat, a decrease of 3% since 2012 (there was previously less than 1% change from 1999 to 2012). There has been a 13.1% decrease in potential SMHM habitat in the leveed areas and a 35.4% increase in potential SMHM habitat in the tidal areas of the Marsh since 1999. Since 2012, SMHM habitat has decreased 1.9% in the tidal areas and 4.3% in the leveed areas. SMHM habitat now comprises 76% of the tidal vegetation/land use and 64% of the non-tidal vegetation/land use.

By management region: Figure 3, Figure 4, Appendix G

Region 1:

Management Region 1 contains approximately 10,874.6 acres (25%) of SMHM habitat in the Marsh. The majority of the SMHM habitat of this region is in the leveed area (8,545.0 acres), where it has decreased by 27.3% since 1999. However, in the tidal areas, the SMHM habitat has increased by 52.3% (800.3 acres) since 1999.

Region 2:

Management Region 2 contains 7,410.5 acres (17%) of the 2015 potential SMHM habitat in the Marsh, which is up by 7.1% since 1999. Over 71% (5,321.9 acres) of the potential SMHM habitat of this region is in the leveed areas, where it has decreased by 4.3% since 1999 (236.5 acres). The potential SMHM habitat in the tidal areas of this region has increased by 53.5% (727.8 acres) since 1999.

Region 3:

Management Region 3 has 2,972.9 acres (7%) of the SMHM habitat of the Marsh, with a decrease of 16.6% (590.0 acres) since 1999. There are 2,375.6 acres of potential habitat in the leveed areas, down 738.5 acres (23.7%) since 1999 and down 688.9 acres (22.5%) since 2012. There are 597.3 acres in the tidal areas of this region, up 148.5 acres (33.1%) since 1999 but down 8.0 acres (1.3%) since 2012.

Region 4:

Management Region 4 has 22,424.5 acres (51%) of the potential SMHM habitat in the Marsh. Over 88% (19,780.7 acres) of the potential habitat in this region is located in the leveed areas, where it has decreased since 1999 by 5.9% (1,238.2 acres) and increased by 1.3% (255.5 acres) since 2012. The potential SMHM habitat in the tidal areas of this region has increased by 14.1% (327.3 acres) since 1999, but decreased 17.9% (574.8 acres) since 2012.




Figure 3: The acreage and distribution across the four management regions for the potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat mapped within the Suisun Marsh triennial vegetation maps in 1999, 2012, and 2015.




Figure 4: The acreage for the potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat mapped within the Suisun Marsh triennial vegetation maps in 1999, 2012, and 2015 for the four management regions and marsh-wide, showing the proportion of tidal and leveed habitats for each.

Non-Native Species of Concern

All of the results of change detection for non-native species of concern are presented in Appendix H and are summarized or highlighted in the discussion below.

Marsh-wide: Figure 5, Appendix H

Arundo donax has increased from 4.7 acres to 6.1 acres since 1999 marsh-wide. Some of this increase is an artifact of better image interpretation or better imagery. Also, some areas that were considered below minimum mapping unit in the 1999 map, which was based on hand delineation on overlays, are now being delineated even though they are below MMU.

Carpobrotus edulis increased from 7.0 acres in 1999 to 8.2 acres in 2012 and then to 33.1 acres in 2015, a 370.9% increase since mapping began. However, like *Arundo donax*, the increase in acres is partially due to better photo interpretation and the lack of an MMU for invasive species in the later maps; little appears to be due to *Carpobrotus* actually spreading.

Cortaderia selloana has decreased in the Marsh from 9.8 acres to 6.3 acres since 1999, although it increased 0.7 acre from 2012 to 2015. The decrease from 1999 seems to be from two specific areas: one was converted/graded, and the other seems to have had very low cover of *Cortaderia* in 1999 and may have been incorrectly mapped. The increase from 2012 to 2015 seems to be due to refinement of interpreted polygons, not an actual increase.

Mapped stands of *Eucalyptus* spp. have increased from 208.1 acres in 1999 to 288.8 acres in 2015 for an increase of 38.8%, although there was a 43.1 acre (13%) loss from 2012 to 2015. In the leveed areas of the Marsh, where most of the coverage occurs, *Eucalyptus* spp. has decreased by 13.4% since the 2012 remap. The total area covered by *Eucalyptus* spp. in the tidal areas, as expected, has remained low, starting with 12.7 acres in 1999 and decreasing to 7.7 acres in 2015.

As discussed in the Methods section, for the 2015 mapping we added an attribute to each polygon denoting whether *Lepidium latifolium* was present, so this change analysis considers more than just the polygons mapped as *Lepidium latifolium* Semi-Natural Alliance. Since 1999, there has been a 19.5% increase (from 956.2 to 1,142.3 acres) of this species, with a 45.7% increase over the past three years, though the acreage had previously been decreasing. There was a much larger increase in tidal acreage (75.4%) than leveed acreage (29.1%) since 2012.

As discussed previously, *Conium maculatum* and *Foeniculum vulgare* are now represented by the portion of the Mediterranean Grasses Group that is over 1 meter in height. This map unit and height combination also includes *Brassica nigra*. Since 1999, this type (hereinafter referred to as tall weeds) has decreased from 1,266.3 acres to 426.5 acres, a 66.3% difference, though it increased 91.3 acres (27.2%) since 2012. Some of this decrease can be explained by a difference in photo interpretation between 1999 and 2015. Much of what was mapped as tall weeds in 1999 has since been mapped as (or converted to) agriculture, roads, bare ground and *Distichlis spicata* – Annual Grasses Association.

No *Centaurea solstitialis* was mapped in 2015.

The non-native genotype of *Phragmites australis* is the most widespread non-native species of concern in the Marsh (Figure 5). This mapping effort does not distinguish between the native and non-native forms, however, what is mapped as the *Phragmites australis* mapping unit is the non-native form, which forms dense, monotypic stands. Since 1999, *P. australis* has increased by 325.2% over the entire marsh, from 693.1 acres in 1999 to 2,947.0 acres in 2015. As of 2015, *P. australis* covers 1,764.2 acres (3.1%) of the leveed marsh and 1,182.8 acres (11.8%) of the tidal marsh. It has more than doubled in the tidal marsh since 1999, up by 227% (821.2 acres), and has expanded 432.1% (1,432.6 acres) in the leveed areas. This is despite control efforts in some leveed areas such as spraying, disking and burning.

Salsola soda has spread very rapidly as a dominant and co-dominant in the Marsh, from 4.8 acres in 2012 to 67.0 acres in 2015. As mapped, stands are concentrated in six separate areas in Regions 1, 2, and 4.

By management region: Figure 6, Figure 7, Figure 8, Appendix H

Region 1:

Thirty-seven percent of the *Arundo donax*, 6% of the *Carpobrotus edulis*, 62% of the *Cortaderia selloana*, 32% of the *Eucalyptus* spp., 28% of the *Lepidium latifolium*, 19% of the tall weeds, 21% of the *Phragmites australis*, and 45% of the *Salsola soda* occur within this management region.

Arundo donax has more than doubled in this region since 1999, from 0.7 to 2.2 acres, at least in part due to better imagery or interpretation.

Cortaderia has decreased by 48.6% since 1999 in this region. Two acres in Parcel 110 along Chadbourne Road that were mapped as *Cortaderia* in 1999 appear to have been bladed, eliminating the *Cortaderia*.

All but 0.9 acre of 91.8 acres of *Eucalyptus* spp. in this region are found in the leveed habitats, where the type has increased by 73.0% (38.4 acres) since 1999, but decreased 30.9% (40.7 acres) since 2012. Much of the decrease occurred SSE of the intersection of Chadbourne Road and Jacksnipe Road, and in Parcels 120 and 130.

Vegetation dominated by *Lepidium latifolium* has increased 14.5% in this region since 2012 and 15.8% since 1999. It has increased by 170.4% in the tidal areas since 1999 but decreased in the leveed areas.

Tall-weed-dominated vegetation is not generally an issue in tidal areas, given that the plants are upland species, and so acreage remains low. In the leveed areas of the region, tall weeds decreased by 87.9% since 1999.

Phragmites australis has increased in this region by 431.9 acres since 1999 and 116.4 acres since 2012, for a total of 617.1 acres of *P. australis* in this region in 2015.

This region contained the first known mappable stands of *Salsola soda* within the Marsh, in 2012. The stands in Management Region 1 grew from 4.8 acres to 29.9 acres by 2015, a 520.8% increase, all in the leveed areas.

Region 2:

One percent of the *Carpobrotus edulis*, 10% of the *Eucalyptus* spp., 45% of the *Lepidium latifolium*, 9% of the tall weeds, 12% of the *Phragmites australis*, and 12% of the *Salsola soda* in the entire marsh were in this management region. There were no mapped stands of *Arundo donax* or *Cortaderia selloana* in this region.

Vegetation dominated by *Lepidium latifolium* increased 92.1% since 1999 and 48.5% since 2012. The greatest increase since 1999 was in the tidal areas (151.9%). *Lepidium* is the most widespread of the non-native species of concern in this region.

Tall weeds have decreased 64.3% in leveed areas since 1999.

Phragmites australis has increased more rapidly since 2012 in the tidal areas (32.6%, from 94.8 to 125.8 acres) than the leveed areas (0.9%) in Region 2. Over the entire region, it has increased almost 400% since 1999.

Salsola soda was mapped in this region for the first time in 2015, with 8.2 acres in leveed areas.

Region 3:

Three percent of the *Eucalyptus* spp. in the entire marsh was found in Management Region 3, as was less than 1% of the *Lepidium latifolium*, 8% of the tall weeds, and 6% of the *Phragmites australis*. There were no mapped stands of *Arundo donax*, *Carpobrotus edulis*, *Cortaderia selloana* or *Salsola soda* in this region.

Tall weeds have increased 100.8% (to 35.5 acres) in leveed areas since 1999.

Phragmites australis is the most abundant species of concern in this region, and although it has decreased in leveed areas by 11.0% since 2012, it has increased by 47.7% in the tidal areas of the region since 2012 and from 1.2 acres to 31.6 acres (2,531%) since 1999.

Region 4:

Sixty-three percent of the *Arundo donax*, 93% of the *Carpobrotus edulis*, 38% of the *Cortaderia selloana*, 56% of the *Eucalyptus* spp., 27% of the *Lepidium latifolium*, 64% of the tall weeds, 61% of the *Phragmites australis*, and 43% of the *Salsola soda* occur within this management region.

Arundo donax decreased 91.4% in tidal areas in this region since 1999 (from 1.1 to 0.1 acre), but increased 73.3% in leveed areas (from 2.1 to 3.7 acres), though it has remained the same since 2012.

Carpobrotus edulis decreased 93.1% (from 1.6 to 0.1 acre) since 1999 in the tidal areas, but increased 460.8% (from 5.5 to 30.7 acres) since 1999 in the leveed areas. Most of the increase in the leveed areas occurred since 2012 (385.0%). A review of specific areas of “change” point to easier interpretation of *Carpobrotus* with the 2015 imagery and not to a real increase in the type.

Cortaderia selloana acreage is small (under 2.5 acres overall in this region), and review of historical imagery suggests the percent changes may reflect increased mapping accuracy or better imagery.

Eucalyptus spp. has decreased 53.2% in the tidal areas and increased 39.8% in leveed areas since 1999, though it has shown a decrease of 2.4% in leveed areas since 2012.

Lepidium latifolium has increased from 26.4 acres in 1999 to 107.6 acres in 2015 in the tidal portion of this region, though it had previously decreased to 5.5 acres in 2012. It has decreased in leveed areas by 47.5% (from 384.7 to 202.0 acres) since 1999, but increased by 48.1 acres since 2012.

Tall weeds have decreased in the leveed areas of this region by 39.4% since 1999, but increased 1,499.7% since 2012. Most of the increase was in areas that were mapped in 2012 as a shorter version of the same type, with lesser amounts having been mapped as roads or the *Distichlis spicata* – Annual Grasses Association.

Phragmites australis is the most abundant species of concern in this region, increasing in tidal areas by 15.8% since 2012 and 137.4% since 1999. It has increased by 24.0% in the leveed areas of the region since 2012 and 626.7% since 1999 (from 170.4 to 1,238.6 acres), despite control efforts.

Salsola soda was mapped in Management Region 4 for the first time, with 28.9 acres in 2015, all in the leveed areas.

2015 Vegetation Map Update for Suisun Marsh




Figure 5: The acreage trend from 1999 to 2015 of the non-native species of concern in Suisun Marsh. Note the different scales in each graph.




Figure 6: The acreage distribution of the most abundant non-native species of concern in Suisun Marsh in 1999, 2012, and 2015 across each of the four management regions.




Figure 7: The acreage distribution of the less abundant non-native species of concern in Suisun Marsh in 1999, 2012, and 2015 across each of the four management regions. Note the difference in scale between Figure 6 and Figure 7. The apparent increase in *Carpobrotus edulis* is due to elimination of the MMU for this type.




Figure 8: The acreages of noteworthy non-native species of concern in Suisun Marsh in 1999, 2012, and 2015 for each of the four management regions showing the proportion of each type that occurs in the tidal habitats and leveed habitats.




Figure 8 (continued): The acreages of noteworthy non-native species of concern in Suisun Marsh in 1999, 2012, and 2015 for each of the four management regions showing the proportion of each type that occurs in the tidal habitats and leveed habitats of the region.

Comparison of Aerial Imagery with Satellite Imagery

Comparison of independent mapping in a pilot area using sample satellite imagery from May 13, 2015 and the 2015 DWR imagery revealed the lower resolution of the satellite imagery (Figure 9), which reduced the number of polygons that could be delineated (Table 6). Further reducing the value of the satellite imagery is the inability to acquire imagery at a specified date and tidal stage. Imagery taken at the same time of the year (and phenology) and tidal stage allows map comparisons between years. Additionally, industry standard for a new collection on WorldView-3 or WorldView-2 is up to 15% cloud coverage (C. Sheil, personal communication, December 8, 2015). This means that up to 15% of the project area might not be visible on the imagery.




Figure 9: Satellite imagery and mapped polygons (left) vs. aerial photograph and mapped polygons (right) for the same location.

Table 6: Comparison of mapping of pilot area using satellite vs. aerial photo imagery.

Metric	Satellite	Photo
Total number of polygons	80	101
Number of types mapped	24	24
Number of polys mapped as non-vegetation	16	19
Number of polys mapped as vegetation MU	0	2
Number of polys mapped at NVC Group level	2	1
Number of polys mapped at NVC Alliance level	6	7
Number of polys mapped at NVC Association level	56	78

Discussion, Conclusions, and Recommendations

For this analysis, we have used the same interpretation of what constitutes potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat (Appendix F) as in the report for the 2012 map update, with some changes due to the combining of several classes based on the Accuracy Assessment. Using these map classes, 66% of vegetation/land use mapped in the Marsh is considered potential habitat for SMHM, down 3% since 1999 and 2012.

Phragmites australis is still increasing, as in previous years, with an 18.7% increase since 2012 (Figure 10). There was again evidence of land managers working to control this species in the leveed areas through mowing and the application of herbicides.




Figure 10: Progression of *Phragmites australis* cover in Suisun Marsh from 1999 through 2015.

As seen by the dominance of *Phragmites* in the Blacklock restoration site (Figure 11), the plant will be a particular concern for marsh restoration projects, including the Tule Red site, given its proximity to one of the largest stands of *Phragmites* in the Marsh.



Figure 11: The Blacklock site was essentially free of *Phragmites* in 1999, before the levees were breached to allow tidal influences to prevail. By 2015, *Phragmites* was well established throughout the site.

As in previous years, the types that are dominated by *Arundo donax* and *Carpobrotus edulis* have shown very little change since 1999. These species tend to spread rapidly in other habitats in the United States, but in the Marsh they seem to be limited due to their preference for levee-edge, upland settings.

Cortaderia selloana, which we believe is the pampas grass in Suisun, is not as invasive as *Cortaderia jubata*, with which it is often confused, and in the Marsh most of the stands are near buildings and developments, suggesting they were planted. The marsh-wide area mapped as *Cortaderia* has actually decreased since 1999. We recommend that *Arundo*, *Cortaderia*, and *Carpobrotus* not be analyzed in future reports because they are not spreading and could actually be eradicated if desired. *Carpobrotus*, in particular, seems to be a landscaping plant around some of the developed areas.

Salsola soda continued to increase at the site it was originally mapped in Management Region 1 and it appeared for the first time in Management Regions 2 and 4. It is possible that this species has been in the Marsh since mapping began, but was misidentified as *Salsola tragus*; we don't have voucher specimens for this plant from past sampling and mapping efforts so cannot rule this out.

Dean Podolsky of Suisun Resource Conservation District alerted VegCAMP staff about an annual or biennial *Symphyotrichum* (formerly *Aster*) that has recently invaded some of the managed wetlands, forming tall, dense stands. He and VegCAMP staff visited some of the stands on 7/8/2015 (Figure 12) and made collections, which will be deposited at the Herbarium (CDA) at the Plant Pest Diagnostics Center of the California Department of Food and Agriculture. These specimens were keyed to two, or possibly three, varieties of *Symphyotrichum subulatum*: var. *squamatum*, var. *elongatum*, and, potentially, var. *ligulatum*. The California Consortium of Herbaria has only 12 collections in California of the first variety, *squamatum*, the closest to Suisun Marsh being from the Cosumnes River Preserve in Sacramento County. The Consortium has 21 collections in the state of variety *elongatum*, most from southern California but two from an unknown location in Solano County and one from West Sacramento in Yolo County. Fred Hrusa of CDA identified an earlier collection made by VegCAMP as potentially *S. subulatum* var. *ligulatum*. The plant was not mature enough for positive identification, but was not var. *elongatum* (F. Hrusa, personal communication). All are invading areas that are seasonally flooded. We recommend further investigation of this species to identify how many and which varieties are in the Marsh, their life histories, management factors that influence their spread, and control methods.

The invasive annual, *Dittrichia graveolens* (common name: stinkwort), was observed for the first time by VegCAMP staff in the Marsh in 2015 at two locations in Management Region 4 (Figure 12), both along levee roadsides. The first collection of this plant in Solano County was in 1998 at the Benicia Industrial Park along Bayshore Road. Sarah Estrella of DFW (pers. comm.) observed this plant in Management Region 1 prior to 2007. The California Invasive Plant Council (Cal-IPC) classifies the potential impact of this plant on ecosystems as moderate (see the [Cal-IPC website](#) for information on this plant). VegCAMP staff observed *Dittrichia graveolens* forming large, monotypic stands in the managed ponds in the Sonoma marshes, so this plant may cover larger areas in Suisun Marsh ponds that we did not see or could not map, given we had no representative photo signature for the 2015 mapping. Land managers may want to investigate control of this species, in addition to the *Symphyotrichum* species described above.




Figure 12: Location of apparently non-native *Symphyotrichum* (blue points) and *Dittrichia* (orange points) in Suisun Marsh.

Comparison of Aerial Imagery with Satellite Imagery

The cost of the satellite imagery for the project area from Harris MapMart would be \$22,000 to \$26,000 before rectification using existing control points (C. Sheil, personal communication, December 8, 2015). We did not have access to the costs of aerial imagery as currently acquired. However, limitations on the date, tidal stage, and cloud cover of the satellite imagery acquisition, as well as its coarser scale, indicate that the satellite imagery is not appropriate for this project.

Future Mapping Efforts

The Suisun map requires fine-scale vegetation classification and spatial delineation, which involves intensive effort on the part of ecologists. Consequently, the final map may be completed up to a year after the base imagery is flown, a time lag that may not be acceptable to decision makers. Vegetation mapping methods have changed with technology over the history of this project, becoming more efficient and accurate (see Barthman-Thompson 2018). However, we do not believe that fully automated mapping can achieve the same thematic accuracy as an experienced ecologist-mapper at this time. The time lag may be reduced by a combination of automated mapping techniques and manual attribution. Accuracy may be enhanced by use of a drone to acquire reconnaissance samples since access for field surveys is often hampered by flooded ditches or channels. VegCAMP recommends a reevaluation of the required mapping resolution as well as periodic assessment of alternative mapping techniques, imagery acquisition, and field methods.

Literature Cited

Baldwin, B.G., D.H. Goldman, D.J. Keil, R. Patterson, T.J. Rosatti and D.H. Wilken, editors. 2012. The Jepson manual: vascular plants of California, second edition. University of California Press, Berkeley.

[Barthman-Thompson, L. 2018. History of Suisun Marsh Triennial Vegetation Survey, 1961-2012. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, CA.](#)

[Boul, R. and T. Keeler-Wolf. 2008. 2006 Vegetation Map Update for Suisun Marsh, Solano County, California. A Report to the California Department of Water Resources. VegCAMP, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, CA.](#)

[Boul, R. and T. Keeler-Wolf. 2016. 2012 Vegetation Map Update for Suisun Marsh, Solano County, California. A Report to the California Department of Water Resources. VegCAMP, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, CA.](#)

California Department of Water Resources (DWR). 2013. Bay Delta Conservation Plan. Public Draft. November. Sacramento, CA. Prepared by ICF International (ICF 00343.12). Sacramento, CA.

Congalton, R. and K. Green. 1999. Assessing the accuracy of remotely sensed data: principles and practices. Lewis Publishers, New York.

Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC). 2008. National Vegetation Classification Standard, Version 2 FGDC-STD-005-2008 (version 2). Vegetation Subcommittee, FGDC Secretariat, U.S. Geological Survey. Reston, VA. 55 pp. + Appendices.

Foody, G. 2002. Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. Remote Sens Environ 80:185–201.

Gopal, S. and C. Woodcock. 1994. Theory and methods for accuracy assessment of thematic maps using fuzzy sets. Photogramm Eng Remote Sens 60:181–188.

Hagen, A. 2003. Fuzzy set approach to assessing similarity of categorical maps. Int J Geogr Inf Sci 17(3):235–249.

[Keeler-Wolf, T. and M. Vaghti. 2000. Vegetation Mapping of Suisun Marsh, Solano County – A Report to the California Department of Water Resources. Unpublished administrative report on file at Biogeographic Data Branch, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento.](#)

Kincaid, T. and A. Olsen. 2011. spsurvey: Spatial Survey Design and Analysis. R package version 2.2.

Lea, C. and A. Curtis. 2010. Thematic accuracy assessment procedures: National Park Service Vegetation Inventory, version 2.0. Natural Resource Report NPS/2010/NRR—2010/204. National Park Service, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Metzler, J. and S. Sader. 2005. Agreement assessment of spatially explicit regression-derived forest cover and traditional forest industry stand type maps. Photogramm Eng Remote Sens 71(11):1303–1309.

San Francisco Estuary Institute (SFEI). 2011. Bay Area Aquatic Resources Inventory (BAARI) Basemap.

2015 Vegetation Map Update for Suisun Marsh

Story, M. and R. Congalton. 1986. Accuracy Assessment: A User's Perspective. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 52:397–399.

Sustaita, D., P. Frinfrock Quickert, L. Patterson, L. Barthman-Thompson, S. Estrella. Salt marsh harvest mouse demography and habitat use in the Suisun Marsh, California. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 75(6):1498-1507.

USDA NRCS. 2015. The PLANTS Database (<http://plants.usda.gov>, 4 June 2015). National Plant Data Team, US Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Greensboro, NC 27401-4901 USA.

[USDI Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish and Game. 2013. Suisun Marsh Habitat Management, Preservation and Restoration Plan. May 2013.](#)

[Vaghti, M., T. Keeler-Wolf. 2001. Suisun Marsh Vegetation Mapping Change Detection 2000. A Report to the California Department of Water Resources. Wildlife and Habitat Data Analysis Branch, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento.](#)

[Vaghti, M. and T. Keeler-Wolf. 2004. Suisun Marsh Vegetation Mapping Change Detection 2003. A Report to the California Department of Water Resources. Wildlife and Habitat Data Analysis Branch, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento.](#)

[Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program \(VegCAMP\). 2012. 2009 Vegetation map update for Suisun Marsh, Solano County, California. A report to the California Department of Water Resources. VegCAMP, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Sacramento, CA.](#)

Appendix A

Suisun Marsh Vegetation Classification and Hierarchy

The vegetation and mapping classification hierarchy of Suisun Marsh is compliant with the United States National Vegetation Classification (USNVC). The vegetation types are listed below within the full eight levels of the USNVC hierarchy. Each type that has been used in any Suisun Marsh map is followed by its mapping code in parentheses.

The original vegetation classification for Suisun Marsh was created in 1999. Since then, a great deal of vegetation work has been done in California, and the state and national hierarchies have been refined. Following the 2015 remap and accuracy assessment, the Suisun Marsh vegetation types were reviewed and modified to match the most current USNVC hierarchy more closely. Some types that were difficult to distinguish on the imagery were aggregated to higher levels of the hierarchy (group or macrogroup level). Some types that were equivalent to current USNVC types were renamed to conform to the current hierarchy. New mapping codes have been assigned to all vegetation types as of the 2015 map; the new codes are listed in parentheses following each type.

Although many alliances and associations from the original classification are equivalent to types in the current USNVC hierarchy, some have no comparable current types. These unmatched vegetation types are labeled “mapping units” and are placed within the appropriate alliances and groups in the hierarchy structure below.

Some of the original mapping units were defined too broadly to fit into the alliance and association levels of the current hierarchy. However, they do approximate some of the upper levels. For instance, although the Medium Upland Graminoids Mapping Unit does not fit into the species-oriented alliance structure, it can be considered part of the Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group. These broadly defined mapping units are listed with their corresponding USNVC vegetation types under “Legacy Mapping Units” at the end of the hierarchy.

In the future, when the Suisun Marsh vegetation field data are reanalyzed with a larger data set, the mapping units will either be confirmed as distinct types or renamed to better fit an existing type. In future maps, the broadly defined mapping units will not be used, but will be replaced by the corresponding USNVC vegetation types.

The USNVC hierarchy is composed of eight levels, organized into three upper, three middle, and two lower levels as shown below:

<u>Level</u>	<u>Example</u>
Upper	-
Level 1 - Class	Forest and Woodland
Level 2 - Subclass	Temperate Forest
Level 3 - Formation	Warm Temperate Forest
Middle	-
Level 4 - Division	Madrean Forest and Woodland
Level 5 - Macrogroup	California Forest and Woodland
Level 6 - Group	Californian Broadleaf Forest and Woodland
Lower	-
Level 7 - Alliance	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>
Level 8 - Association	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i>

Descriptions of vegetation types in the 2015 map can be found in Appendix B.

1. Forest and Woodland Class

a. Temperate Forest Subclass

i. Warm Temperate Forest Formation (1000000)

1. Madrean Forest and Woodland Division (1100000)

a. California Forest and Woodland Macrogroup (1110000)

i. Californian Broadleaf Forest and Woodland Group¹ (1111000)

1. *Quercus agrifolia* Woodland Alliance (1111100)
 - a. *Quercus agrifolia* Association (1111101)
 - b. *Quercus agrifolia* / *Salix lasiolepis* Association (1111102)
2. *Quercus lobata* Woodland Alliance (1111200)

ii. Cool Temperate Forest Formation (2000000)

1. North American Introduced Evergreen Broadleaf and Conifer Forest Division (2100000)

a. Introduced North American Mediterranean Woodland and Forest Macrogroup (2110000)

- i. no subdivision at group level
 1. *Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis)* Woodland Semi-Natural Alliance (2110100)
 - a. *Eucalyptus globulus* Semi-Natural Association (2110101)
 2. *Ailanthus altissima* Woodland Semi-Natural Alliance (2110200)

b. Southwestern North American Riparian, Flooded and Swamp Forest Macrogroup (2120000)

- i. Southwestern North American Riparian Evergreen and Deciduous Woodland Group² (2121000)
 1. *Salix laevigata* Woodland Alliance (2121100)
 - a. *Salix laevigata* / *Salix lasiolepis* Association (2121101)
- ii. Southwestern North American Riparian/Wash Scrub Group (2122000)
 1. *Salix exigua* Shrubland Alliance (2122100)
 - a. *Salix exigua* Association (2122101)
 2. *Rosa californica* Shrubland Alliance (2122200)
 - a. *Rosa californica* Association (2122201)
 - b. *Rosa californica* – *Baccharis pilularis* Association (2122202)
 3. *Baccharis salicifolia* Shrubland Alliance (2122300)
- iii. Southwestern North American Introduced Riparian Scrub Group (2123000)
 1. *Arundo donax* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (2123100)
 - a. *Arundo donax* Semi-Natural Association (2123101)
 2. *Tamarix* spp. Shrubland Semi-Natural Alliance (2123200)

iii. Temperate Flooded and Swamp Forest Formation (3000000)

1. Western North America Flooded and Swamp Forest Division (3100000)

a. Western Cordilleran Montane–Boreal Riparian Scrub Macrogroup (3110000)

- i. Vancouverian Riparian Deciduous Forest Group (3111000)
 1. *Fraxinus latifolia* Woodland Alliance (3111100)
 - a. *Fraxinus latifolia* Planted Stands Mapping Unit (3111101)

2. Temperate Flooded and Swamp Forest Mesomorphic Shrub and Herb Vegetation Class

a. Mediterranean Scrub and Grassland Subclass

i. Mediterranean Grassland and Forb Meadow Formation (4000000)

1. California Grassland and Meadow Division (4100000)

a. California Annual and Perennial Grassland Macrogroup (4110000)

- i. Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group³ (4111000)
 1. *Brassica nigra* and Other Mustards Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (4111100)
 - a. *Brassica nigra* Semi-Natural Association (4111101)
 - b. *Raphanus sativus* Semi-Natural Association (4111102)
 2. *Bromus (diandrus, hordeaceus)* – *Brachypodium distachyon* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (4111200)
 3. *Centaurea (solstitialis, melitensis)* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (4111300)

¹ Formerly named Oaks Mapping Unit

² Formerly named Willow Trees Mapping Unit

³ Formerly named Annual Grasses/Weeds Mapping Unit

4. *Conium maculatum* – *Foeniculum vulgare* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (4111400)
 - a. *Conium maculatum* Semi-Natural Association (4111401)
 - b. *Foeniculum vulgare* Semi-Natural Association (4111402)
5. *Cortaderia (jubata, selloana)* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (4111500)
6. *Festuca perennis* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (4111600)
 - a. *Festuca perennis* – *Lepidium latifolium* Semi-Natural Association (4111601)
 - b. *Festuca perennis* – *Lotus corniculatus* Semi-Natural Association (4111602)
 - c. *Festuca perennis* – *Rumex* spp. Mapping Unit (4111603)
 - d. *Hordeum marinum* – *Festuca perennis* Mapping Unit (4111604)
7. *Elytrigia pontica* Mapping Unit (4111700)
8. *Agrostis avenacea* Mapping Unit (4111800)
9. *Vulpia* spp. – *Euthamia occidentalis* Mapping Unit (4111900)

3. Mesomorphic Shrub and Herb Vegetation Class

a. Temperate and Boreal Shrubland and Grassland Subclass

i. Temperate Grassland, Meadow, and Shrubland Formation (5000000)

1. Vancouverian and Rocky Mountain Grassland and Shrubland Division (5100000)

a. Western North American Temperate Grassland and Meadow Macrogroup (5110000)

- i. Vancouverian and Rocky Mountain Naturalized Perennial Grassland Group (5111000)
 1. *Phalaris aquatica* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (5111100)
 - a. *Phalaris aquatica* Semi-Natural Association (5111101)

b. Vancouverian Lowland Grassland and Shrubland Macrogroup (5120000)

- i. Naturalized Non-Native Deciduous Scrub Group (5121000)
 1. *Rubus armeniacus* Shrubland Semi-Natural Alliance (5121100)

ii. Temperate and Boreal Scrub and Herb Coastal Vegetation Formation (6000000)

1. Pacific Coast Scrub and Herb Littoral Vegetation Division (6100000)

a. Vancouverian Coastal Dune and Bluff Macrogroup (6110000)

- i. California Coastal Evergreen Bluff and Dune Scrub Group⁴ (6111000)
 1. *Baccharis pilularis* Shrubland Alliance (6111100)
 - a. *Baccharis pilularis* / Annual Grass–Herb Association (6111101)
- ii. California–Vancouverian Semi-Natural Littoral Scrub and Herb Vegetation Group (6112000)
 1. *Carpobrotus edulis* or Other Ice Plants Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (6112100)

iii. Temperate and Boreal Freshwater Marsh Formation (7000000)

1. Western North American Freshwater Marsh Division (7100000)

a. Western North American Freshwater Marsh Macrogroup (7110000)

- i. Arid West Freshwater Emergent Marsh Group⁵ (7111000)
 1. *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)* Herbaceous Alliance (7111100)
 - a. *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)* Association (7111101)
 - b. *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)* (dead stalks) Mapping Unit (7111102)
 - c. *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)* – *Echinochloa crus-galli* Association (7111103)
 - d. *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)* – *Distichlis spicata* Association (7111104)
 - e. *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)* – *Phragmites australis* Association (7111105)
 - f. *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)* – *Schoenoplectus americanus* Association (7111106)
 2. *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* Herbaceous Alliance (7111200)
 - a. *Schoenoplectus californicus* – *Schoenoplectus acutus* Association (7111201)
 - b. *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* Mapping Unit (7111202)
 - c. *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* – *Rosa californica* Association (7111203)
 - d. *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* – Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit (7111204)
 3. *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* – *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)* Mapping Unit (7111300)
 4. *Phragmites australis* Herbaceous Alliance (7111400)
 - a. *Phragmites australis* Association (7111401)

⁴ Formerly named Medium Upland Shrubs Mapping Unit

⁵ Formerly named Tall Wetland Graminoids Mapping Unit

- b. *Phragmites australis* – *Schoenoplectus* spp. Association (7111402)
 - c. *Phragmites australis* – *Xanthium strumarium* Mapping Unit (7111403)
 - 5. *Alisma triviale* Mapping Unit (7111500)
- ii. Vancouverian Coastal/Tidal Marsh and Meadow Group (7112000)
 - 1. *Potentilla anserina* Herbaceous Alliance (7112100)
- b. Western North America Vernal Pool Macrogroup (7120000)**
 - i. Californian Mixed Annual/Perennial Freshwater Vernal Pool/Swale Bottomland Group (7121000)
 - 1. *Grindelia (stricta)* Provisional Herbaceous Alliance (7121100)
- c. Western North America Wet Meadow and Low Shrub Carr Macrogroup⁶ (7130000)**
 - i. Californian Warm Temperate Marsh/Seep Group (7131000)
 - 1. *Juncus arcticus* (var. *balticus*, *mexicanus*) Herbaceous Alliance (7131100)
 - a. *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* Association (7131101)
 - b. *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* – *Conium maculatum* Association (7131102)
 - c. *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* – *Lepidium latifolium* Association (7131103)
 - d. *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* – *Potentilla anserina* Association (7131104)
 - 2. *Leymus triticoides* Herbaceous Alliance (7131200)
 - ii. Naturalized Warm-Temperate Riparian And Wetland Group (7132000)
 - 1. *Lepidium latifolium* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (7132100)
 - 2. *Persicaria lapathifolia* – *Xanthium strumarium* Herbaceous Alliance (7132200)
 - a. *Persicaria* spp. – *Xanthium strumarium* – *Echinochloa crus-galli* Mapping Unit (7132201)
 - 3. *Cynodon dactylon* – *Crypsis* spp. – *Paspalum* spp. Moist Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (7132300)
 - a. *Crypsis schoenoides* Mapping Unit (7132301)
 - b. *Cynodon dactylon* Mapping Unit (7132302)
 - 4. *Polypogon monspeliensis* Mapping Unit (7132400)
 - 5. *Rumex* spp. Mapping Unit (7132500)
 - 6. *Salsola soda* Mapping Unit (7132600)
- iv. Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation (8000000)**
 - 1. Temperate and Boreal Pacific Coastal Salt Marsh Division (8100000)**
 - a. North American Pacific Coastal Salt Marsh Macrogroup⁷ (8110000)**
 - i. Temperate Pacific Tidal Salt and Brackish Meadow Group (8111000)
 - 1. *Bolboschoenus maritimus* Herbaceous Alliance (8111100)
 - a. *Bolboschoenus maritimus* Association (8111101)
 - b. *Bolboschoenus maritimus* – *Salicornia pacifica* Association (8111102)
 - c. *Bolboschoenus maritimus* – *Sesuvium verrucosum* Association (8111103)
 - 2. *Distichlis spicata* Herbaceous Alliance (8111200)
 - a. *Distichlis spicata* Association (8111201)
 - b. *Distichlis spicata* – Annual Grasses Association (8111202)
 - c. *Distichlis spicata* – *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* (*J. arcticus* var. *mexicanus*) Association (8111203)
 - d. *Distichlis spicata* – *Lotus corniculatus* Mapping Unit (8111204)
 - e. *Distichlis spicata* – *Salicornia pacifica* Association (8111205)
 - f. *Distichlis spicata* – *Schoenoplectus americanus* Provisional Association (8111206)
 - g. *Distichlis spicata* – *Cotula coronopifolia* Association (8111207)
 - h. *Distichlis spicata* – *Bolboschoenus maritimus* Mapping Unit (8111208)
 - i. *Distichlis spicata* – *Juncus balticus* – *Triglochin* spp. – *Glaux maritima* Mapping Unit (8111209)
 - j. *Lepidium latifolium* – *Distichlis spicata* Semi-Natural Association (8111210)
 - 3. *Salicornia pacifica* (*Salicornia depressa*) Herbaceous Alliance (8111300)
 - a. *Salicornia pacifica* Association (8111301)
 - b. *Salicornia pacifica* – Annual Grasses Association (8111302)
 - c. *Salicornia pacifica* – *Atriplex prostrata* Association (8111303)
 - d. *Salicornia pacifica* – *Crypsis schoenoides* Association (8111304)

⁶ Formerly named Medium Wetland Graminoids Mapping Unit

⁷ Formerly named Short Wetland Graminoids Mapping Unit

- e. *Salicornia pacifica* – *Sesuvium verrucosum* Association (8111305)
- f. *Salicornia pacifica* – *Echinochloa crus-galli* – *Polygonum* – *Xanthium strumarium* Association (8111306)
- g. *Salicornia pacifica* – *Cotula coronopifolia* Association (8111307)
- 4. *Spartina foliosa* Herbaceous Alliance (8111400)
- ii. Western North American Disturbed Alkaline Marsh and Meadow Group (8112000)
 - 1. *Atriplex prostrata* – *Cotula coronopifolia* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (8112100)
 - a. *Cotula coronopifolia* Semi-Natural Association (8112101)
 - b. *Atriplex prostrata* Semi-Natural Association (8112102)
 - c. *Atriplex prostrata* – *Distichlis spicata* Semi-Natural Association (8112103)
 - d. *Atriplex prostrata* – *Bolboschoenus maritimus* Semi-Natural Association (8112104)
 - e. *Atriplex prostrata* – *Sesuvium verrucosum* Semi-Natural Association (8112105)
 - f. *Atriplex prostrata* – Annual Grasses Semi-Natural Association (8112106)
 - 2. *Sesuvium verrucosum* Herbaceous Alliance (8112200)
 - a. *Sesuvium verrucosum* Association (8112201)
 - b. *Sesuvium verrucosum* – *Distichlis spicata* Association (8112202)
 - c. *Sesuvium verrucosum* – *Festuca perennis* Association (8112203)
 - d. *Sesuvium verrucosum* – *Cotula coronopifolia* Association (8112204)
 - 3. *Spergularia marina* Provisional Herbaceous Alliance (8112300)
 - a. *Spergularia marina* – *Cotula coronopifolia* Mapping Unit (8112301)
- 2. Western North American Interior Alkali–Saline Wetland Division (8200000)**
 - a. Warm Semi-Desert/Mediterranean Alkali–Saline Wetland Macrogroup (8210000)**
 - i. Southwestern North American Alkali Marsh/Seep Vegetation Group (8211000)
 - 1. *Schoenoplectus americanus* Herbaceous Alliance (8211100)
 - a. *Schoenoplectus americanus* Association (8211101)
 - b. *Schoenoplectus americanus* – *Potentilla anserina* Association (8211102)
 - c. *Schoenoplectus americanus* – *Schoenoplectus californicus* – *Schoenoplectus acutus* Association (8211103)
 - d. *Schoenoplectus americanus* – *Lepidium latifolium* Association (8211104)
 - ii. Southwestern North American Salt Basin and High Marsh Group (8212000)
 - 1. *Atriplex lentiformis* Shrubland Alliance (8212100)
 - 2. *Frankenia salina* Herbaceous Alliance (8212200)
 - a. *Frankenia salina* – Annual grasses Mapping Unit (8212201)
 - b. *Frankenia salina* – *Distichlis spicata* Association (8212202)
- 4. Hydromorphic Vegetation (Aquatic Vegetation) Class (9000000)**
 - a. Saltwater Aquatic Vegetation Subclass**
 - i. Marine and Estuarine Saltwater Aquatic Vegetation Formation (9100000)**
 - 1. Temperate Pacific Saltwater Aquatic Vegetation Division (9110000)**
 - a. Temperate Pacific Intertidal Shore Macrogroup (9111000)**
 - i. Temperate Pacific Intertidal Flat Group (9111100)
 - 1. *Stuckenia (pectinata)* – *Potamogeton* spp. Herbaceous Alliance (9111200)
 - a. *Stuckenia pectinata* Association (9111201)
 - 2. *Ruppia (cirrhosa, maritima)* Herbaceous Alliance (9111300)
 - b. Freshwater Aquatic Vegetation Subclass**
 - i. Freshwater Aquatic Vegetation Formation**
 - 1. North American Freshwater Aquatic Vegetation Division (9200000)**
 - a. Western North American Freshwater Aquatic Vegetation Macrogroup (9210000)**
 - i. Naturalized Temperate Pacific Freshwater Vegetation Group (9211000)
 - 1. *Ludwigia (hexapetala, peploides)* Provisional Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance (9211100)
 - 5. Agriculture & Developed Vegetation Class**
 - a. Herbaceous Agricultural Vegetation Subclass (9310000)**
 - i. Row & Close Grain Crop Formation⁸ (9311000)**
 - ii. Fallow Field and Weed Vegetation Formation (9312000)**

⁸ Formerly named Cultivated Annual Graminoid Mapping Unit

1. Cropland Fallow Field Division (9312100)

a. Fallow Field Macrogroup (9312110)

b. Herbaceous & Woody Developed Vegetation Subclass (9410000)

i. Other Developed Vegetation Formation (9411000)

1. Other Developed Vegetation Division (9411100)

a. Tree Developed Vegetation Macrogroup (9411110)

i. Temperate Tree Developed Vegetation Group⁹ (9411111)

Non-Vegetation Mapping Units

- Bare Ground Mapping Unit (1)
- Agriculture (2)
- Road / Trails Mapping Unit (4)
- Slough Mapping Unit (6)
- Tidal Mudflat Mapping Unit (7)
- Railroad Track Mapping Unit (8)
- Ditch Mapping Unit (9)
- Open Water Mapping Unit (11)
- Developed Mapping Unit (15)

Legacy Mapping Units

Californian Broadleaf Forest and Woodland Group (1111000)

- Oaks Mapping Unit (1111001)

Southwestern North American Riparian Evergreen and Deciduous Woodland Group (2121000)

- Willow Trees Mapping Unit (2121001)

Southwestern North American Riparian/Wash Scrub Group (2122000)

- Tall Wetland Shrubs Mapping Unit (2122001)
- Medium Wetland Shrubs Mapping Unit (2122002)

California Annual and Perennial Grassland Macrogroup (4110000)

- Annual Grasses Mapping Unit (4110001)

Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group (4111000)

- Tall Upland Graminoids Mapping Unit (4111001)
- Medium Upland Graminoids Mapping Unit (4111002)
- Perennial Grass Mapping Unit (4111003)
- Short Upland Graminoids Mapping Unit (4111004)
- Tall Upland Herbs Mapping Unit (4111005)
- Medium Upland Herbs Mapping Unit (4111006)
- Short Upland Herbs Mapping Unit (4111007)
- Annual Grasses/Weeds Mapping Unit (4111008)

California Coastal Evergreen Bluff and Dune Scrub Group (6111000)

- Medium Upland Shrubs Mapping Unit (6111001)

Arid West Freshwater Emergent Marsh Group (7111000)

- Tall Wetland Graminoids Mapping Unit (7111001)
- Calystegia sepium* – *Euthamia occidentalis* Mapping Unit (7111002)

Western North America Wet Meadow and Low Shrub Carr Macrogroup (7130000)

- Medium Wetland Graminoids Mapping Unit (7130001)

Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation (8000000)

- Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit (8000001)
- Tall Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit (8000002)
- Medium Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit (8000003)

North American Pacific Coastal Salt Marsh Macrogroup (8110000)

- Short Wetland Graminoids Mapping Unit (8110001)
- Short Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit (8110002)

⁹ Formerly named Landscape Trees Mapping Unit

Hydromorphic Vegetation (Aquatic Vegetation) Class (9000000)

Floating-leaved Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit (9000001)

Row & Close Grain Crop Formation (9311000)

Cultivated Annual Graminoid Mapping Unit (9311001)

Temperate Tree Developed Vegetation Group (9411111)

Landscape Trees Mapping Unit (9411112)

Developed (15)

Parking Lot Mapping Unit (3)

Structure Mapping Unit (5)

Water Treatment Pond Mapping Unit (13)

Urban Area Mapping Unit (14)

Road / Trails Mapping Unit (4)

Trail Mapping Unit (10)

Appendix B

Suisun Marsh Vegetation Type Descriptions

These descriptions were created for vegetation types mapped in Suisun Marsh in 2015.

These descriptions are intended for use as a guide to the identification of field-based and image interpretation-based vegetation assessments. Each type that has been used in any Suisun Marsh map is listed with its current mapping code. An example of the type as it is represented on imagery is included with each description. Terms used in the descriptions are defined as follows:

Alliance: Plant communities based on dominant/diagnostic species of the uppermost or dominant stratum. Accepted alliances are part of the USNVC hierarchy.

Association: The most botanically detailed or finest-scale plant community designation based on dominant species and multiple co- or sub-dominant indicator species from any strata. Associations are part of the USNVC hierarchy.

Plant community nomenclature: Species separated by "-" are within the same stratum; species separated by "/" are in different strata. The number that follows some plant community names is the Mapping Code used for labeling plant community polygons for the associated GIS-based plant community map.

Cover: The primary metric used to quantify the importance/abundance of a particular species or a particular vegetation layer within a stand. It is measured by estimating the aerial extent of the living plants, or the bird's-eye view looking from above, for each category. Cover in this mapping project uses the concept of "porosity" or foliar cover rather than "opacity" or crown cover. Thus, field crews are trained to estimate the amount of light versus shade produced by the canopy of a plant or a stratum by taking into account the amount of shade it casts excluding the openings it may have in the interstitial spaces (e.g., between leaves or branches). This is assumed to provide a more realistic estimate of the actual amount of shade cast by the individual or stratum which, in turn, relates to the actual amount of light available to individual species or strata beneath it. However, as a result cover estimates can vary substantially between leaf-on versus leaf-off conditions.

Absolute cover: The actual percentage of the surface area of the survey that is covered by a species or physiognomic group (trees, shrubs, herbaceous), as in "*Salicornia pacifica* covers 10% of the area being surveyed." Absolute cover of all species or physiognomic groups, when added together, may total greater than 100%, because this is not a proportional number and plants can overlap each other. For example, a stand could have 25% tree cover in the upper layer, 40% shrub cover in the middle layer, and 50% herbaceous cover on the ground. However, when aerial interpretation is being used, the maximum absolute value is 100%, since lower levels of vegetation cannot be seen through the overstory on aerial photographs.

Relative cover: The percentage of surface area within a survey area that is covered either by one species relative to other species within the same physiognomic stratum (tree, shrub, herbaceous) or one stratum relative to the total vegetation cover in a polygon. Thus, 50% relative cover of *Distichlis spicata* in the herb layer means that *D. spicata* comprises half the cover of all herbaceous species within a stand, while 50% relative shrub cover means that shrubs make up half the cover of all vegetation within a stand. Relative cover values are proportional numbers that, when added together, total 100% for all the species within a stratum or each stratum within a stand of vegetation.

Dominance: Dominance refers to the preponderance of vegetation cover in a stand of uniform composition and site history. It may refer to cover of an individual species as in "dominated by *Salicornia pacifica*," or it may refer to dominance by a physiognomic group, as in "dominated by herbs." When we use the term in the key, a species is dominant if it is in relatively high cover in each stand, however, see "dominance by layer," below.

Strongly dominant: A species in the dominant life form stratum has 60% or greater relative cover.

Co-dominant: Co-dominance refers to two or more species in a stand with similar cover. Specifically, each species has between 30% to 60% relative cover. For example in a coastal scrub stand with 5% *Baccharis pilularis*, 4% *Frangula californica*, and 3% *Rubus ursinus* (total 13% shrub cover), technically only the *Baccharis* ($5/13 = 39\%$ relative cover) and the *Frangula* ($4/13 = 31\%$ relative cover) would be co-dominant because *Rubus* would only have 23% relative cover ($3/13 = 23\%$).

Consistent/Characteristic/Diagnostic species: Should be present in at least 80% of the stands of the type, with no restriction on cover. Relatively even spacing throughout the stand is important particularly in vegetation with low total cover since an even distribution of the diagnostic species is a much better indicator than overall cover. Characteristic species that are evenly distributed are better indicators of a type than species with higher cover and patchy distribution.

Dominance by layer: Tree, shrub, and herbaceous layers are considered physiognomically distinct. A vegetation type is considered to belong to a certain physiognomic group if it is dominated by one layer. Layers are prioritized in order of height. If the tallest layer is dominant and characteristic (see definitions above) across multiple stands of one type, the alliance is usually named by the dominant and/or characteristic species of the tallest layer. Average covers within the dominant layer reflect the "modal" concept of the health/age/environment of a particular vegetation type. For example, a higher average cover of woody plants within a stand not recently affected by disturbance reflects a mode of general availability of water, nutrition, and equitable climate, while lower average cover under similar conditions would reflect lower availability of these things.

Woody plant: A vascular plant species that has a noticeably woody stem (e.g., shrubs and trees). It does not include herbaceous species with woody underground portions such as tubers, roots, or rhizomes.

Tree: A one-stemmed woody plant that normally grows to be greater than 5 meters tall. In some cases, trees may be multi-stemmed (ramified due to fire or other disturbance) but the height of mature plants typically exceeds 5 meters. If less than 5 meters tall, undisturbed individuals of these species are usually single-stemmed. Certain species that resemble shrubs sometimes but may be trees in other areas (e.g., *Aesculus californica*) are, out of statewide tradition or by the USNVC, called trees. It behooves one to memorize which species are "traditionally" placed in one life-form or another. We use the accepted lifeforms in the USNVC or the PLANTS Database (USDA NRCS 2015) to do this.

Shrub: A multi-stemmed woody plant that is usually 0.2–5 meters tall. Definitions are blurred at the low and high ends of the height scales. At the tall end, shrubs may approach tree-size based on disturbance frequencies (e.g., old-growth re-sprouting chaparral species such as *Cercocarpus montanus*, *Fremontodendron californicum*, *Prunus ilicifolia*, and so forth, may frequently attain "tree size," but are still typically multi-stemmed and are considered shrubs in this key). At the short end, woody perennial herbs or sub-shrubs of various species are often difficult to categorize into a consistent life-form (e.g., *Eriogonum latifolium*, *Lupinus chamissonis*); in such instances, we refer to the PLANTS Database or "pick a lane" based on best available definitions.

Subshrub: A multi-stemmed plant with noticeably woody stems less than 0.5 meter tall. May be easily confused with a perennial herb or small shrub. We lump them into the "shrub" category in stand tables and descriptions of vegetation types.


Shrub-characterized vegetation: Shrubs (including sub-shrubs) are evenly distributed throughout the stand, providing a consistent (even if sparse) structural component; the stand cannot be characterized as a tree stand; and one or both of the following criteria are met: (1) shrubs influence the distribution or population dynamics of other plant species; (2) shrubs play an important role in ecological processes within the stand. For the purposes of this project, shrub alliances have at least 10% absolute shrub cover.

Herbaceous plant: Any species of plant that has no main woody stem development; includes grasses, forbs, and perennial species that die back each year.

Herb-characterized vegetation: Herbs are evenly distributed throughout the stand, providing a consistent (even if sparse) structural component and play an important role in ecological processes within the stand. The stand cannot be characterized as a tree or shrub stand.

Botanical nomenclature: We use the PLANTS Database in vegetation mapping as our standard for botanical names. In certain cases, if a plant is recognized by *The Jepson Manual, second edition* (Baldwin et al. 2012), but not PLANTS Database, we default to the Jepson name.

1 Bare Ground Mapping Unit




Ground generally has <10% cover of vegetation. Does not include unvegetated tidal flats, but does include unvegetated leveed ground such as scraped areas or formerly inundated areas with no vegetation.

2 Agriculture Mapping Unit



Includes fallow, disked fields. Does not include Row and Close Grain Crop (9311000).

4 Road / Trails Mapping Unit



Includes roads and trails that are wider than the minimum mapping width of 10 feet. May have mown vegetation.

6 Slough Mapping Unit



Wide, fully tidal waterways.

7 Tidal Mudflat Mapping Unit



Tidally exposed mud deposits, generally unvegetated or with low cover of herbs or algae.

8 Railroad Track Mapping Unit




Railroad track, ballast and roadbed.

9 Ditch Mapping Unit



Non-tidal, smaller waterways and graded or maintained permanent or temporary ditches that may or may not contain water at the time of the imagery.

11 Open Water Mapping Unit



Unchannelized tidal or non-tidal areas of standing water, including Suisun Bay.

15 Developed Mapping Unit




Includes parking lots, structures, water treatment ponds and urban areas.

1111100 *Quercus agrifolia* Woodland Alliance



Quercus agrifolia (coast live oak) is dominant or co-dominant in the tree canopy. Typically bordering freshwater creeks at upper reaches of marsh only, making this a fairly uncommon vegetation type in Suisun Marsh.

2110100 *Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis)* Woodland Semi-Natural Alliance



Eucalyptus camaldulensis, *Eucalyptus globulus* or other gum tree is dominant in the tree canopy. Planted as trees, groves, and windbreaks; naturalized on uplands and stream courses.

Understories are usually depauperate, though sometimes other non-natives, such as *Hedera helix*, clamber extensively in stands. Seeds of *Eucalyptus* germinate when tree crowns and built-up debris are removed by fire or in other ways.

2121101 *Salix laevigata* / *Salix lasiolepis* Association



Salix laevigata (red willow) is dominant or co-dominant in the tree or shrub canopy with *S. lasiolepis* (Arroyo willow). Generally found at the edges of the marsh along freshwater creeks.

2122101 *Salix exigua* Association



Salix exigua (narrow-leaf willow) is dominant or co-dominant in the shrub canopy with >20% absolute cover.

2122201 *Rosa californica* Association



Rosa californica (California rose) is dominant and conspicuous, often forming narrow briar patches along levees and roads, occasionally in lower lying portions of marsh.

2122202 *Rosa californica* – *Baccharis pilularis* Association



Rosa californica (California rose) and *Baccharis pilularis* (coyote brush) co-occur in stand; either species may be dominant, but each has over 5% absolute cover. Often occurs along levees and roads.

2122300 *Baccharis salicifolia* Shrubland Alliance




One stand strongly dominated by *Baccharis salicifolia* (mule-fat) occurs in the marsh; it was planted at the Blacklock restoration site along the southeastern levee.

2123101 *Arundo donax* Semi-Natural Association



Clonal dense stands of *Arundo donax* (giant reed), generally small and locally distributed near settlements and roads in marsh. The signature on the true-color imagery is slightly more gray than *Phragmites australis*, with which it might be confused. *Arundo* is also found in linear stands along roads or ditches more often than *Phragmites*, and the stand edges appear more ragged.

2123200 *Tamarix* spp. Shrubland Semi-Natural Alliance




Stands are dominated by tamarisk, a large, non-native shrub with diffuse habit.

4111000 Mediterranean Californian Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group




Upland grasslands generally not associated with saturated soil or tidal influence throughout the growing season. Shrubs are generally less than 10% cover or, if more, sub-shrubs are overtopped by the dominant grass or herb species. Dominant species include the grasses *Hordeum murinum*, *Bromus* spp., *Festuca perennis*, *Elytrigia pontica* and *Avena* spp. Also included in this group are stands dominated by weeds such as *Conium maculatum* (poison hemlock), *Foeniculum vulgare* (wild fennel), *Raphanus sativus* (wild radish), and *Brassica nigra* (black mustard).

4111500 *Cortaderia (jubata, selloana)* Semi-Natural Herbaceous Alliance



Stands dominated by the large, non-native, mound-like *Cortaderia selloana* (pampas grass). Stands are generally small but conspicuous, and occur in moist areas in the ecotone between wetlands and uplands. Some stands occur on the outboard sides of levees, however all stands appear to be near buildings.

5111101 *Phalaris aquatica* Semi-Natural Association



Stands are dominated by the tall bunch grass *Phalaris aquatica* (canary grass). These are usually small stands along levees, but may occur in larger upland stands adjacent to the marsh.

5121100 *Rubus armeniacus* Shrubland Semi-Natural Alliance



Vegetation dominated by the introduced *Rubus armeniacus* (Himalayan berry), often in narrow briar patches along levees and roads in the Marsh. When co-dominant with *Rosa californica* or *Baccharis pilularis*, assign to those alliances.

6111000 California Coastal Evergreen Bluff and Dune Scrub Group




One stand of *Acmispon glaber* (deerweed), which fits into this group, was observed onsite in the Marsh in an area that had apparently received some sandy fill or dredge material. The signature is somewhat unique, but was identified in the field.

6111100 *Baccharis pilularis* Shrubland Alliance



Baccharis pilularis (coyote brush) is dominant to co-dominant with other shrubs such as *Atriplex lentiformis* (big saltbrush). *Rosa californica* (California rose) is typically absent or <<5% absolute cover.

6111101 *Baccharis pilularis* / Annual Grass – Herb Association



Baccharis pilularis (coyote brush) dominates, with an understory that is typically dominated by annual grasses (*Hordeum* spp., *Festuca perennis*, *Bromus* spp.) and/or annual herbs.

6112100 *Carpobrotus edulis* or Other Ice Plants Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance




Vegetation dominated (>50% relative cover) by perennial non-native *Carpobrotus edulis* (iceplant), generally on levees and areas adjacent to buildings. Most stands are uniform and dense and have a distinct signature, particularly in the CIR imagery, where they are bright pink.

7111100 *Typha* (*angustifolia*, *latifolia*, *domingensis*) Herbaceous Alliance



Stands dominated by cattail species including *Typha angustifolia*, *T. latifolia*, and *T. domingensis* (Cattail (narrowleaf, broadleaf, and southern)). The distinguishing features of these three species are often blurred in the marsh and there is frequent evidence of hybridization. Different *Typha* species are often found in the same stand and are considered ecologically equivalent. Throughout most of the marsh, narrow-leaved forms (*T. angustifolia/domingensis*) predominate. Often, stands appear to be mostly dead standing cattails from the previous season, but CIR imagery reveals that they are regenerating.

7111202 *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* Mapping Unit



Vegetation dominated by *Schoenoplectus californicus* (California bulrush) and/or the ecologically and morphologically similar *Schoenoplectus acutus* (hardstem bulrush). Occasionally *Typha* spp. may occur in equal or higher cover than the *Schoenoplectus* spp., but *Schoenoplectus californicus* or *Schoenoplectus acutus* has at least 10% relative cover.

7111203 *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* / *Rosa californica* Association




Rosa californica (California rose) is present with *Schoenoplectus californicus* (California bulrush), and/or *Schoenoplectus acutus* (hardstem bulrush). Usually found along levees bordering sloughs and channels, including the intertidal zone.

7111204 *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* – Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit




Stands dominated by *Schoenoplectus californicus* (California bulrush) and/or *S. acutus* (hardstem bulrush) with an understory of >12% cover that is a varying mixture of mostly native perennial herbs, such as *Euthamia occidentalis*, *Aster lentus*, *A. subulatus*, *Artemisia douglasiana*, *Baccharis glutinosa*, *Achillea millefolium*, and *Stachys ajugoides*. May also include *Lepidium latifolium*.

**7111300 *Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)* – *Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)*
Mapping Unit**



Stands dominated in the overstory by *Schoenoplectus californicus* (California bulrush) and/or *Schoenoplectus acutus* (hardstem bulrush) with a lower to somewhat higher cover of *Typha angustifolia*, *T. latifolia*, and/or *T. domingensis*. May have up to 50% relative cover of wetland herbs (*Polygonum*, *Epilobium*, *Euthamia*, etc.).

7111400 *Phragmites australis* Herbaceous Alliance



Most of the *Phragmites australis* (common reed) in the marsh is the very densely growing, invasive, non-native form. When *Phragmites* dominates, even when it is mixed with other plants such as *Schoenoplectus*, it is mapped as this type. The signature is very distinct, especially in the CIR imagery, in which it appears hot pink. There is no minimum mapping area for this type.

7111500 *Alisma triviale* Mapping Unit



Vegetation dominated by *Alisma triviale* (water plantain). Mapping is limited to a pond that receives freshwater drainage along Highway 680.

7121100 *Grindelia (stricta)* Provisional Herbaceous Alliance




Stands dominated by the diffuse perennial herb *Grindelia* spp. (*Grindelia xpaludosa* may be more common in the marsh than previously thought and *Grindelia stricta* less so). May contain a variety of subordinate species, some weedy and some native. Typically found on the edges of wetlands on slightly elevated or drier ground than adjacent vegetation, such as natural or constructed levees, road margins, etc.

7131100 *Juncus arcticus* (var. *balticus*, *mexicanus*) Herbaceous Alliance




Vegetation dominated or co-dominated (>30% relative cover) by the stoloniferous (clonal) rush *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* (Baltic rush) or *Juncus arcticus* var. *mexicanus* (Mexican rush), often associated with other taller or shorter herbaceous species. Usually in temporarily saturated wetlands not inundated for extensive periods. The signature on true color imagery is often very dark brown or black and its clonal habit is usually obvious.

7131200 *Leymus triticoides* Herbaceous Alliance



Stands dominated (>50% relative cover) by the native *Leymus triticoides* (creeping wild rye). Stands are generally narrow bands of wetland-upland borders including natural ecotones between the *Distichlis spicata* (salt grass) Alliance and naturalized annual grass stands. Also occurs along levee tops and margins of marsh adjacent to vegetation of intermittent flooding zone. Non-natives, such as *Lepidium latifolium* and *Lotus corniculatus*, often invade these stands. Some very large stands, such as on Grizzly Island, may have been planted. The signature is bluer green in comparison to other perennial grasses.

7132100 *Lepidium latifolium* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance




Stands dominated by the invasive *Lepidium latifolium* (perennial pepperweed); may occur in temporarily flooded, intermittently flooded, and saturated wetlands, typically in at least slightly saline soils. Appears to be expanding in the marsh and is particularly threatening to native tidal marsh vegetation such as *Schoenoplectus americanus* (three-square), *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* (Baltic rush), and *Distichlis spicata* (saltgrass) Alliance stands (as at Rush Ranch). Often stands are at peak flower at the time of the imagery acquisition and so appear mounded and white. CIR imagery shows a very light pink signature.

7132200 *Persicaria lapathifolia* – *Xanthium strumarium* Herbaceous Alliance




Vegetation of regularly disturbed, winter and vernal wet ponds and fields, usually on fine-grained clay-rich soils. *Xanthium strumarium* (cocklebur), *Persicaria lapathifolia* (common knotweed or willow weed), or other knotweed species are dominant or co-dominant in the herbaceous layer.

7132301 *Crypsis schoenoides* Mapping Unit




Stands dominated by the low annual *Crypsis schoenoides* (swamp timothy). Found in winter and vernal flooded flats and pools. Vegetation is generally scattered, with intervening small to large openings of dry, cracked mud during summer.

7132600 *Salsola soda* Mapping Unit



Stands dominated or co-dominated by *Salsola soda* (opposite-leaf Russian thistle). Large stands originated on the Hill Slough Unit.

8000000 Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation



This type includes the former wetland herbs mapping unit and is applied when the vegetation is dominated by herbs in a wetland setting that do not have a recognizable signature or are too mixed in species composition to fit into a more specific vegetation type.

8111100 *Bolboschoenus maritimus* Herbaceous Alliance




Vegetation of seasonally wet flats and pond bottoms, dominated or co-dominated (>30% relative cover) by *Bolboschoenus maritimus* (alkali bulrush) in the taller herb/graminoid layer. Co-dominant species may include *Distichlis spicata*, *Salicornia pacifica*, *Sesuvium verrucosum*, *Spergularia salina*, *Typha latifolia*, and/or short herbs or grasses. Some stands also include the similar species *Bolboschoenus robustus* (sturdy bulrush) or hybrids between the two.

8111200 *Distichlis spicata* Herbaceous Alliance



Stands usually dominated (>50% relative cover) by *Distichlis spicata* (salt grass), or if not dominant, salt grass has higher cover than any other single species. *Distichlis spicata* has a grey-green or yellow-green signature in comparison to *Frankenia salina*, which is more blue-green and occurs in similar settings.

8111201 *Distichlis spicata* Association



Stands strongly dominated by salt grass, *Distichlis spicata*, with no other species greater than 5% cover.

8111202 *Distichlis spicata* – Annual Grasses Association



Stands composed of a mixture of *Distichlis spicata* (salt grass) and non-native annual grasses. *Distichlis* may be dominant or share dominance (as low as 30% relative cover) with annual grass species, primarily *Polypogon monspeliensis* (rabbit's foot grass), *Festuca perennis* (perennial ryegrass), and/or *Hordeum* spp. (barley). Annuals generally cover at least 10%. Found at the higher marsh margins.

8111203 *Distichlis spicata* – *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* (*J. arcticus* var. *mexicanus*) Association



Stands of *Distichlis spicata* (salt grass), with *Juncus arcticus* var. *balticus* or *Juncus arcticus* var. *mexicanus* as principal subordinate species (>5% relative cover). *Juncus* should be consistently present throughout the stand but does not need to be the most abundant subordinate species.

8111205 *Distichlis spicata* – *Salicornia pacifica* Association



Stands are co-dominated by *Distichlis spicata* (salt grass) and *Salicornia pacifica* (pickleweed), both with 30% to 60% relative cover.

8111206 *Distichlis spicata* – *Schoenoplectus americanus* Provisional Association




Distichlis spicata (salt grass) is the characteristic grass species with emergent *Schoenoplectus americanus* (three square bulrush) conspicuous, but less than 40% cover. Found in tidal and muted tidal settings with little disturbance.

8111207 *Distichlis spicata* – *Cotula coronopifolia* Association



Stands of *Distichlis spicata* (salt grass) with the annual *Cotula coronopifolia* (brass buttons) as a subordinate species.

8111209 *Distichlis spicata* – *Juncus balticus* – *Triglochin* spp. – *Glaux maritima* Mapping Unit



Distichlis spicata (salt grass) is the major ground cover, associated with a variety of native tidal marsh species including *Juncus balticus*, *Triglochin maritima*, *Glaux maritima*, *Jaumea carnosa*, and/or *Limonium californicum*. This type characterizes the most pristine native short tidal marsh habitats.

8111300 *Salicornia pacifica* (*Salicornia depressa*) Herbaceous Alliance



Vegetation dominated (at least 10% cover over a sometimes higher cover of short annual or perennial grasses) by the native perennial salt marsh sub-shrubby or herbaceous *Salicornia pacifica* (pickleweed).

8111301 *Salicornia pacifica* Association




Vegetation dominated solely by *Salicornia pacifica* (pickleweed); more than twice as much cover of *Salicornia* than of any other combination of species in the stand.

8111302 *Salicornia pacifica* – Annual Grasses Association




Stand is dominated by *Salicornia pacifica* (pickleweed) with a sparse to dense mixture of annual grasses (*Polypogon*, *Hordeum*, *Festuca perennis*, *Bromus* spp.).

8111304 *Salicornia pacifica* – *Crypsis schoenoides* Association



Vegetation dominated by *Salicornia pacifica* (pickleweed) mixed with a short intermittent layer of *Crypsis schoenoides* (swamp timothy).

8111305 *Salicornia pacifica* – *Sesuvium verrucosum* Association



Vegetation dominated or co-dominated by *Salicornia pacifica* (pickleweed) with *Sesuvium verrucosum* (sea purslane) as a main subordinate species (at least 20% relative cover); may also include relatively high cover of *Cotula coronopifolia* (brass buttons).

8111307 *Salicornia pacifica* – *Cotula coronopifolia* Association




Vegetation dominated by *Salicornia pacifica* with an ephemeral annual component of *Cotula coronopifolia* (brass buttons), which may cover enough ground to co-dominate in the early growing season.

8112100 *Atriplex prostrata* – *Cotula coronopifolia* Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance



Stands dominated or characterized by *Atriplex prostrata* (fat hen) and/or *Cotula coronopifolia* (brass buttons). Both species are indicative of disturbed conditions in alkaline or saline wetlands. Both are early seral plants; they may be abundant to sparse from year to year depending on disturbance regime and salinity.

8112101 *Cotula coronopifolia* Semi-Natural Association



Stands strongly dominated by *Cotula coronopifolia* (brass buttons) with little or no significant cover from other species. Found on recently inundated, exposed mudflats. When still growing, the CIR imagery signature is a salmon color.

8112200 *Sesuvium verrucosum* Herbaceous Alliance




Sesuvium verrucosum (sea purslane) > 50% relative cover in the herbaceous layer, dominant or co-dominant with *Chenopodium chenopodioides*, *Cotula coronopifolia*, *Distichlis spicata*, *Lolium perenne*, *Rumex crispus*, *Rumex pulcher*, *Salicornia pacifica* and/or *Spergularia marina*.

8112201 *Sesuvium verrucosum* Association



Sesuvium verrucosum (sea purslane) is strongly dominant.

8112300 *Spergularia marina* Provisional Herbaceous Alliance




Stands dominated by *Spergularia marina* (sand spurrey). Found in moist or seasonally flooded alkaline/saline areas, and newly scraped areas exposing mudflats of high salinity.

8211100 *Schoenoplectus americanus* Herbaceous Alliance



Schoenoplectus americanus (three-square bulrush) dominates (>50% relative cover) stand. Co-dominants may include *Distichlis spicata*, *Juncus arcticus*, *Schoenoplectus acutus*, and *Schoenoplectus californicus*. *S. americanus* stands generally occupy portions of the marsh that are saturated, but not permanently flooded, often along the upper reaches of tidally influenced sloughs, creeks, and ditches.

8211104 *Schoenoplectus americanus* – *Lepidium latifolium* Association



Schoenoplectus americanus (three-square bulrush) is dominant to co-dominant with *Lepidium latifolium* (perennial pepperweed), which may approach *S. americanus* in total cover. Tends to replace native associations of *S. americanus* along small tidal creeks and channels.

8212100 *Atriplex lentiformis* Shrubland Alliance




Scrub dominated by the medium-to-large-sized shrub (up to 4 m in height) *Atriplex lentiformis* (big saltbrush). Generally occurs in small, linear stands at borders of managed fields and intermittently flooded wetlands, usually associated with annual grasses and non-native herbs. In true color imagery, its signature is very gray in comparison to other shrubs of the same size.

8212200 *Frankenia salina* Herbaceous Alliance



Frankenia salina (alkali heath) is dominant or co-dominant, and may have equal or somewhat higher cover of *Distichlis spicata* (salt grass) or annual grasses. Generally found in seasonally moist or intermittently flooded clayey saline soils. *Frankenia* generally has a bluer green color relative to *Distichlis spicata*, which is more yellow-green but often has the same texture in appearance and occurs in similar settings.

8212202 *Frankenia salina* – *Distichlis spicata* Association




Frankenia salina (alkali heath) and *Distichlis spicata* (saltgrass) co-dominate.

9111100 Temperate Pacific Intertidal Flat Group



This group-level mapping unit is used for floating aquatic vegetation that was not identifiable to species on the imagery and which had no field samples to verify its identification.

9111201 *Stuckenia pectinata* Association



Floating masses strongly dominated by *Stuckenia pectinata* (narrow-leaved pondweed) occurring in diked brackish ponds, tidally influenced ditches or sloughs, and at the edges of the open bay.

9311000 Row & Close Grain Crop Formation



Upland stands dominated by annual grasses and distinguished by heavily managed site history. Species are various, but are planted, mowed and/or cultivated regularly.

9411111 Temperate Tree Developed Vegetation Group



Stands dominated by non-native or planted trees, except *Eucalyptus*, which are mapped as *Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis)* Woodland Semi-Natural Alliance.

Appendix C

Vegetation mapping types and mapping units used to map Suisun Marsh

Type names have been updated due to species name changes and/or classification changes. “mu” is mapping unit (i.e., not a true vegetation NVCS classification unit). The 2012 (2015 Original) column shows the mapping units that were used in 2012 and for the first version of the 2015 map. For the revised 2015 map, some mapping units were aggregated to a higher level. In those cases, the Common Name will be gray and the 2015 Revised column will reflect the current name. In other cases, the mapping unit name has changed to reflect the current vegetation hierarchy, although the composition of the mapping unit has not changed. In those cases, the Common Name column will reflect the new name.

The table is ordered by the revised 2015 Mapping Code.

The *Jepson Manual: Vascular Plants of California, Second Edition* (Baldwin et al. 2012) is the source for the species nomenclature for this project.

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
1	Bare Ground	Bare Ground mu	Bare Ground mu	Bare Ground mu	1	Bare Ground
2	Fallow Disced Field	Fallow Disced Field mu	Fallow Disced Field mu	Agriculture mu	2	Agriculture
3	Parking Lot	Parking Lot mu	Parking Lot mu	Developed mu	3	Parking Lot
4	Road	Road mu	Road mu	Road / Trails mu	4	Roads and trails
5	Structure	Structure mu	Structure mu	Developed mu	5	Structure
6	Slough	Slough mu	Slough mu	Slough mu	6	Slough
7	Tidal Mudflat	Tidal Mudflat mu	Tidal Mudflat mu	Tidal Mudflat mu	7	Tidal Mudflat
8	Railroad Track	Railroad Track mu	Railroad Track mu	Railroad Track mu	8	Railroad Track
9	Ditch	Ditch mu	Ditch mu	Ditch mu	9	Ditch
10	Trail	Trail mu	Trail mu	Road / Trails mu	10	Trail
11	Flooded Managed Wetland	Open Water mu	Open Water mu	Open Water mu	11	Open Water
12	Freshwater Drainage	Freshwater Drainage mu	Freshwater Drainage mu	Deleted, never used -	12	Freshwater Drainage
13	Water Treatment Pond	Water Treatment Pond mu	Water Treatment Pond mu	Developed mu	13	Water Treatment Pond
14	Urban Area	Urban Area mu	Urban Area mu	Developed mu	14	Urban Area mu
15				Developed mu	15	Developed mu
900	Oaks	Oaks mu	Oaks mu	Californian Broadleaf Forest and Woodland Group	1111000	Californian Broadleaf Forest and Woodland Group
901	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> Alliance	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> Woodland Alliance	1111100	Coast Live Oak
705	<i>Salix lasiolepis</i> / <i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	<i>Salix lasiolepis</i> / <i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i> Association	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i> Association	1111102	Arroyo Willow/Coast Live Oak

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
903	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	<i>Quercus lobata</i> Alliance	<i>Quercus lobata</i> Woodland Alliance	1111200	Valley Oak
800	<i>Eucalyptus</i>	<i>Eucalyptus</i> mu	<i>Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis)</i> Alliance	<i>Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis)</i> Woodland Semi-Natural Alliance	2110100	Gum Tree
801	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Association	<i>Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis)</i> Woodland Semi-Natural Alliance	2110101	Blue Gum
911	<i>Ailanthus altissima</i>	<i>Ailanthus altissima</i>	<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> Alliance	<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> Woodland Semi-Natural Alliance	2110200	Tree of Heaven
700	Willow Trees	Willow Trees	Willow Trees mu	Southwestern North American Riparian Evergreen and Deciduous Woodland Group	2121000	Southwestern North American Riparian Evergreen and Deciduous Woodland Group
702	<i>Salix laevigata</i> / <i>S. lasiolepis</i>	<i>Salix laevigata</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i>	<i>Salix laevigata</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i> Association	<i>Salix laevigata</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i> Association	2121101	Red Willow/Arroyo Willow
500				Southwestern North American Riparian/Wash Scrub Group	2122000	Southwestern North American Riparian/Wash Scrub Group
502	<i>Salix exigua</i>	<i>Salix exigua</i>	<i>Salix exigua</i> Association	<i>Salix exigua</i> Association	2122101	Narrowleaf Willow
604	<i>Rosa californica</i>	<i>Rosa californica</i>	<i>Rosa californica</i> Association	<i>Rosa californica</i> Association	2122201	California Rose
605	<i>Rosa</i> / <i>Baccharis</i>	<i>Rosa californica</i> - <i>Baccharis pilularis</i>	<i>Rosa californica</i> – <i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Association	<i>Rosa californica</i> – <i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Association	2122202	California Rose-Coyote Brush
607				<i>Baccharis salicifolia</i> Shrubland Alliance	2122300	Mule-fat
102	<i>Arundo donax</i>	<i>Arundo donax</i>	<i>Arundo donax</i> Association	<i>Arundo donax</i> Semi-Natural Association	2123101	Giant Reed
107				<i>Tamarix</i> spp. Shrubland Semi-Natural Alliance	2123200	Tamarisk
912	<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i>	<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i> Planted Stands mu	<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i> Planted Stands mu	3111101	Oregon Ash
231	Annual Grasses generic	Annual Grasses generic	Annual Grasses mu	California Annual and Perennial Grassland Macrogroup	4110000 4110001	Annual Grasses generic
227	Annual Grasses/Weeds	Annual Grasses/Weeds	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111000	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group
210	Medium Upland Graminoids	Medium Upland Graminoids mu	Medium Upland Graminoids mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111002	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
226	Perennial Grass	Perennial Grass	Perennial Grass mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111003	Perennial Grass
230	Short Upland Graminoids	Short Upland Graminoids mu	Short Upland Graminoids mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111004	Short Upland Graminoids
401	Upland Herbs	Upland Herbs mu	Tall Upland Herbs mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111005	Upland Herbs
410	Medium Upland Herbs	Medium Upland Herbs mu	Medium Upland Herbs mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111006	Medium Upland Herbs
406	<i>Brassica nigra</i> (generic)	<i>Brassica nigra</i>	<i>Brassica nigra</i> Association	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111101	Black Mustard
405	<i>Raphanus sativus</i> (generic)	<i>Raphanus sativus</i>	<i>Raphanus sativus</i> Association	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111102	Radish
232	<i>Bromus</i> spp./ <i>Hordeum</i>	<i>Bromus</i> spp.- <i>Hordeum</i> spp.	<i>Bromus (diandrus, hordeaceus)</i> – <i>Brachypodium distachyon</i> Alliance	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111200	Brome-Barley
413	<i>Centaurea</i> (generic)	<i>Centaurea</i> spp.	<i>Centaurea (solstitialis, melitensis)</i> Alliance	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111300	Knapweed, Star Thistle
402	<i>Conium maculatum</i>	<i>Conium maculatum</i>	<i>Conium maculatum</i> Association	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111401	Poison Hemlock
403	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Association	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111402	Sweet Fennel
202	<i>Cortaderia selloana</i>	<i>Cortaderia selloana</i>	<i>Cortaderia (jubata, selloana)</i> Alliance	<i>Cortaderia (jubata, selloana)</i> Semi-Natural Herbaceous Alliance	4111500	Pampas Grass
218	<i>Lolium</i> (generic)	<i>Lolium multiflorum</i>	<i>Festuca perennis</i> Alliance	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111600	Rye Grass
220	<i>Lolium/Lepidium</i>	<i>Lolium multiflorum-Lepidium latifolium</i>	<i>Festuca perennis</i> – <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111601	Rye Grass-Perennial Pepperweed

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
344	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<i>Festuca perennis</i> – <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> Association	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111602	Bird's-foot Trefoil
222	<i>Lolium/Rumex</i>	<i>Lolium multiflorum-Rumex</i> spp.	<i>Festuca perennis</i> – <i>Rumex</i> spp. mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111603	Rye Grass-Dock
234	<i>Hordeum/Lolium</i>	<i>Hordeum</i> spp.- <i>Lolium multiflorum</i>	<i>Hordeum marinum</i> – <i>Festuca perennis</i> mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111604	Barley-Rye Grass
211	<i>Elytrigia pontica</i>	<i>Elytrigia pontica</i>	<i>Elytrigia pontica</i> mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111700	Tall Wheat Grass
228	<i>Agrostis avenacea</i>	<i>Agrostis avenacea</i>	<i>Agrostis avenacea</i> mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111800	Pacific Bent Grass
235	<i>Vulpia/Euthamia</i>	<i>Vulpia/Euthamia</i>	<i>Vulpia</i> spp. – <i>Euthamia occidentalis</i> mu	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	4111900	Rattail Fescue-Western Goldenrod
223	<i>Phalaris aquatica</i>	<i>Phalaris aquatica</i>	<i>Phalaris aquatica</i> Association	<i>Phalaris aquatica</i> Semi-Natural Association	5111101	Harding Grass
606	<i>Rubus discolor</i>	<i>Rubus discolor</i>	<i>Rubus armeniacus</i> Alliance	<i>Rubus armeniacus</i> Shrubland Semi-Natural Alliance	5121100	Himalayan Blackberry
601	Medium Upland Shrubs	Medium Upland Shrubs mu	Medium Upland Shrubs mu	California Coastal Evergreen Bluff and Dune Scrub Group	6111000	California Coastal Evergreen Bluff and Dune Scrub Group
602			<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Alliance	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Shrubland Alliance	6111100	Coyote Brush
603	<i>Baccharis</i> /Annual Grasses	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> /Annual Grasses	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> / Annual Grass–Herb Association	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> / Annual Grass – Herb Association	6111101	Coyote Brush/Annual Grasses Association
421	<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i>	<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i>	<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> Alliance	<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> or Other Ice Plants Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	6112100	Ice plant
101	Tall Wetland Graminoids	Tall Wetland Graminoids mu	Tall Wetland Graminoids mu	Arid West Freshwater Emergent Marsh Group	7111000	Arid West Freshwater Emergent Marsh Group
330	<i>Calystegia/Euthamia</i>	<i>Calystegia sepium-Euthamia occidentalis</i>	<i>Calystegia sepium</i> – <i>Euthamia occidentalis</i> mu	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – Wetland herbs mu	7111002	Hedge Bindweed-Western Goldenrod
122			<i>Typha (angustifolia, domingensis, latifolia)</i> Alliance	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7111100	Cattail (narrowleaf, broadleaf, southern)

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
123	<i>Typha species</i> (generic)	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Association	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Alliance	7111101	Cattail (narrowleaf, broadleaf, southern)
125	<i>Typha angustifolia</i> (dead stalks)	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> (dead stalks)	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> (dead stalks) mu	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Alliance	7111102	Cattail (narrowleaf, broadleaf, southern)
120	<i>Typha angustifolia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> - <i>Polygonum</i> spp.- <i>Xanthium strumarium-Echinochloa crus-galli</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> Association	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Alliance	7111103	Cattail (narrowleaf, broadleaf, southern)-Smartweed-Rough Cocklebur-Barnyard Grass
126	<i>Typha angustifolia/Distichlis</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> - <i>Distichlis spicata</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Alliance	7111104	Cattail (narrowleaf, southern, broadleaf)-Salt grass
129	<i>Typha angustifolia/Phragmites</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> - <i>Phragmites australis</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Phragmites australis</i> Association	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Alliance	7111105	Cattail (narrowleaf, broadleaf, southern)-Common Reed
121	<i>Typha angustifolia/S. americanus</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> - <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i>	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Alliance	7111106	Cattail (narrowleaf, broadleaf, southern)-Three-square Bulrush
116	<i>Scirpus californicus/S. acutus</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus californicus</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus acutus</i> Association	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> mu	7111201	Bulrush (Hardstem Bulrush, California Bulrush)
115				<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> mu	7111202	Bulrush (Hardstem Bulrush, California Bulrush)
162	<i>Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Rosa</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> - <i>Rosa californica</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus californicus</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus acutus</i> / <i>Rosa californica</i> Association	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Rosa californica</i> Association	7111203	Bulrush (California Bulrush, Hardstem Bulrush)-California Rose
158	<i>Scirpus (californicus or acutus)/Wetland Herb</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> -Wetland herbs	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – Wetland herbs mu	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – Wetland Herbs mu	7111204	Bulrush (Hardstem Bulrush, California Bulrush)-Wetland herbs
157	<i>Scirpus (californicus or acutus)-Typha</i> spp.	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> - <i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> mu	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> mu	7111300	Bulrush (Hardstem Bulrush, California Bulrush)-Cattail (narrowleaf, broadleaf, southern)
106				<i>Phragmites australis</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7111400	Common Reed
103	<i>Phragmites australis</i>	<i>Phragmites australis</i>	<i>Phragmites australis</i> Association	<i>Phragmites australis</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7111401	Common Reed

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
104	<i>Phragmites/Scirpus</i>	<i>Phragmites australis-Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i>	<i>Phragmites australis</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus</i> spp. Association	<i>Phragmites australis</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7111402	Common Reed-Bulrush (Hardstem Bulrush, California Bulrush)
105	<i>Phragmites/Xanthium</i>	<i>Phragmites australis-Xanthium strumarium</i>	<i>Phragmites australis</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> mu	<i>Phragmites australis</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7111403	Common Reed-Rough Cocklebur
117				<i>Alisma triviale</i> Mapping Unit	7111500	Water Plantain
338	<i>Potentilla anserina</i> (generic)	<i>Potentilla anserina</i>	<i>Potentilla anserina</i> Alliance	<i>Potentilla anserina</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7112100	Cinquefoil
321	<i>Grindelia stricta</i> var. <i>stricta</i>	<i>Grindelia stricta</i> var. <i>stricta</i>	<i>Grindelia (stricta)</i> Alliance	<i>Grindelia (stricta)</i> Provisional Herbaceous Alliance	7121100	Gumplant
130	Medium Wetland Graminoids	Medium Wetland Graminoids mu	Medium Wetland Graminoids mu	Western North America Wet Meadow and Low Shrub Carr Macrogroup	7130000	Western North America Wet Meadow and Low Shrub Carr Macrogroup
131				<i>Juncus arcticus</i> (var. <i>balticus, mexicanus</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	7131100	Common Rush
132	<i>Juncus balticus</i>	<i>Juncus balticus</i>	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> Association	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> (var. <i>balticus, mexicanus</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	7131101	Common Rush
133	<i>Juncus balticus/Conium</i>	<i>Juncus balticus-Conium maculatum</i>	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> – <i>Conium maculatum</i> Association	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> (var. <i>balticus, mexicanus</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	7131102	Common Rush-Poison Hemlock
134	<i>Juncus balticus/Lepidium</i>	<i>Juncus balticus-Lepidium latifolium</i>	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> – <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> (var. <i>balticus, mexicanus</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	7131103	Common Rush-Perennial Pepperweed
135	<i>Juncus balticus/Potentilla</i>	<i>Juncus balticus-Potentilla anserina</i>	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> – <i>Potentilla anserina</i> Association	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> (var. <i>balticus, mexicanus</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	7131104	Common Rush-Cinquefoil
215	<i>Leymus</i> (generic)	<i>Leymus triticoides</i>	<i>Leymus triticoides</i> Alliance	<i>Leymus triticoides</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7131200	Creeping Wild Rye
324	<i>Lepidium</i> (generic)	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i>	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Alliance	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	7132100	Perennial Pepperweed
328				<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7132200	Willow Weed-Rough Cocklebur
329	<i>Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa</i>	<i>Polygonum</i> spp.- <i>Xanthium strumarium-Echinochloa crus-galli</i>	<i>Persicaria</i> spp. – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> mu	<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> Herbaceous Alliance	7132201	Smartweed-Rough Cocklebur-Barnyard Grass
155	<i>Crypsis schoenoides</i>	<i>Crypsis schoenoides</i>	<i>Crypsis schoenoides</i> mu	<i>Crypsis schoenoides</i> mu	7132301	Swamp Timothy
161	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> mu	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> mu	7132302	Bermuda Grass

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
238	<i>Polypogon monspeliensis</i> (generic)	<i>Polypogon monspeliensis</i>	<i>Polypogon monspeliensis</i> mu	<i>Polypogon monspeliensis</i> mu	7132400	Rabbitsfoot Grass
336	<i>Rumex</i> (generic)	<i>Rumex</i> spp.	<i>Rumex</i> spp. mu	Western North America Wet Meadow and Low Shrub Carr Macrogroup	7132500	Dock
366			<i>Salsola soda</i> mu	<i>Salsola soda</i> mu	7132600	Opposite-leaf Russian Thistle
299				Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation	8000000	Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation
300	Wetland Herbs	Wetland Herbs mu	Wetland Herbs mu	Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation	8000001	Wetland Herbs
301	Tall Wetland Herbs	Tall Wetland Herbs mu	Tall Wetland Herbs mu	Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation	8000002	Tall Wetland Herbs
310	Medium Wetland Herbs	Medium Wetland Herbs mu	Medium Wetland Herbs mu	Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation	8000003	Medium Wetland Herbs
140	Short Wetland Graminoids	Short Wetland Graminoids mu	Short Wetland Graminoids mu	North American Pacific Coastal Salt Marsh Macrogroup	8110000 8110001	North American Pacific Coastal Salt Marsh Macrogroup
340	Short Wetland Herbs	Short Wetland Herbs mu	Short Wetland Herbs mu	North American Pacific Coastal Salt Marsh Macrogroup	8110002	Short Wetland Herbs
136			<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Alliance	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111100	Alkali Bulrush
137	<i>Scirpus maritimus</i>	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i>	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Association	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111101	Alkali Bulrush
138	<i>Scirpus maritimus</i> / <i>Salicornia</i>	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> - <i>Salicornia pacifica</i>	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111102	Alkali Bulrush-Pickleweed
139	<i>Scirpus maritimus</i> / <i>Sesuvium</i>	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> - <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i>	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111103	Alkali Bulrush-Western Sea-purslane
156	<i>Distichlis</i> (generic)	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Alliance	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111200	Salt grass
141	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	8111201	Salt grass
142	<i>Distichlis</i> /Annual Grasses	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> -Annual grasses	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – Annual Grasses Association	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – Annual Grasses Association	8111202	Salt grass-Annual grasses Association
145	<i>Distichlis</i> / <i>Juncus</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> - <i>Juncus balticus</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> Association	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> (<i>J. arcticus</i> var. <i>mexicanus</i>) Association	8111203	Salt grass-Common Rush
147	<i>Distichlis</i> / <i>Lotus</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> - <i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> mu	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111204	Salt grass-Bird's-foot Trefoil

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
148	<i>Distichlis/Salicornia</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata-Salicornia pacifica</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	8111205	Salt grass-Pickleweed
149	<i>Distichlis/S. americanus</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata-Schoenoplectus americanus</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> mu	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Provisional Association	8111206	Salt grass-Three-square Bulrush
153	<i>Distichlis/Cotula</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata-Cotula coronopifolia</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	8111207	Salt grass-Brass buttons
154	<i>Distichlis/S. maritimus</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata-Bolboschoenus maritimus</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> mu	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111208	Salt grass-Alkali Bulrush
160	<i>Distichlis-Juncus-Triglochin-Glaux</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata-Juncus balticus-Triglochin</i> spp.- <i>Glaux maritima</i>	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus balticus</i> – <i>Triglochin</i> spp. – <i>Glaux maritima</i> mu	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus balticus</i> – <i>Triglochin</i> spp. – <i>Glaux maritima</i> mu	8111209	Salt grass-Common Rush-Arrowgrass-Sea Milkwort
323	<i>Lepidium/Distichlis</i>	<i>Lepidium latifolium-Distichlis spicata</i>	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111210	Perennial Pepperweed-Salt grass
361	<i>Salicornia</i> (generic)	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Alliance Only	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Alliance	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> (<i>Salicornia depressa</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	8111300	Pickleweed
346	<i>Salicornia virginica</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	8111301	Pickleweed
347	<i>Salicornia/Annual Grasses</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> -Annual Grasses	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – Annual Grasses Association	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – Annual Grasses Association	8111302	Pickleweed-annual Grasses
348	<i>Salicornia/Atriplex</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica-Atriplex prostrata</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Association	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> (<i>Salicornia depressa</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	8111303	Pickleweed-Fat-hen
350	<i>Salicornia/Crypsis</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica-Crypsis schoenoides</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Crypsis schoenoides</i> Association	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Crypsis schoenoides</i> Association	8111304	Pickleweed-Swamp Timothy
356	<i>Salicornia/Sesuvium</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica-Sesuvium verrucosum</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	8111305	Pickleweed-Western Sea-purslane
364	<i>Salicornia/Polygonum-Xanthium-Echinochloa</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica-Polygonum</i> spp.- <i>Xanthium strumarium-Echinochloa crus-galli</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> – <i>Polygonum</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> Association	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> (<i>Salicornia depressa</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	8111306	Pickleweed-Smartweed-Rough Cocklebur-Barnyard Grass
365	<i>Salicornia/Cotula</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica-Cotula coronopifolia</i>	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	8111307	Pickleweed-Brass buttons
163				<i>Spartina foliosa</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8111400	California cordgrass
339	<i>Atriplex triangularis</i> (generic)	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Alliance	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	8112100	Fat-hen – Brass buttons
342	<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i>	<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i>	<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Semi-Natural Association	8112101	Brass buttons

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
311	<i>Atriplex triangularis</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Association	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	8112102	Fat-hen
312	<i>Atriplex/Distichlis</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata-Distichlis spicata</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	8112103	Fat-hen-Salt grass
315	<i>Atriplex/S. maritimus</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata-Bolboschoenus maritimus</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Association	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	8112104	Fat-hen-Alkali Bulrush
316	<i>Atriplex/Sesuvium</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata-Sesuvium verrucosum</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	8112105	Fat-hen-Western Sea-purslane
337	<i>Atriplex/Annual Grasses</i>	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> -Annual Grasses	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – Annual Grasses Association	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	8112106	Fat-hen-Annual Grasses
355				<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8112200	Western Sea-purslane
357	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i>	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i>	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	8112201	Western Sea-purslane
358	<i>Sesuvium/Distichlis</i>	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum-Distichlis spicata</i>	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8112202	Western Sea-purslane-Salt grass
359	<i>Sesuvium/Lolium</i>	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum-Lolium multiflorum</i>	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> – <i>Festuca perennis</i> Association	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8112203	Western Sea-purslane-Rye Grass
362			<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	8112204	Western Sea-purslane-Brass buttons
360	<i>Spergularia/Cotula</i>	<i>Spergularia-Cotula coronopifolia</i>	<i>Spergularia marina</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	<i>Spergularia marina</i> Provisional Herbaceous Alliance	8112300 8112301	Sand-spurrey Sand-spurrey-Brass buttons
111			<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Alliance	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8211100	Three-square Bulrush
114	<i>Scirpus americanus</i> (generic)	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8211101	Three-square Bulrush
112	<i>Scirpus americanus/Potentilla</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus-Potentilla anserina</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Potentilla anserina</i> Association	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8211102	Three-square Bulrush-Cinquefoil
113	<i>Scirpus americanus/S. californicus-S. acutus</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus-Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus californicus</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus acutus</i> Association	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8211103	Three-square Bulrush-Bulrush (Hardstem Bulrush, California Bulrush)

Mapping Code	Vegetation Name				2015 Mapping Code	Common Name
	1999-2006	2009	2012 (2015 Original)	2015 Revised		
127	<i>Scirpus americanus/Lepidium</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus-Lepidium latifolium</i>	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus – Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus – Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	8211104	Three-square Bulrush-Perennial Pepperweed
514	<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i> (generic)	<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i>	<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i> Alliance	<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i> Shrubland Alliance	8212100	Big Saltbrush
320	<i>Frankenia</i> (generic)	<i>Frankenia salina</i>	<i>Frankenia salina</i> Alliance	<i>Frankenia salina</i> Herbaceous Alliance	8212200	Alkali Heath
317	<i>Frankenia/Agrostis</i>	<i>Frankenia salina-Agrostis</i>	<i>Frankenia salina – Agrostis avenacea</i> Association	<i>Frankenia salina – annual grasses</i> mu	8212201	Alkali Heath-Annual grasses
318	<i>Frankenia/Distichlis</i>	<i>Frankenia salina-Distichlis spicata</i>	<i>Frankenia salina – Distichlis spicata</i> Association	<i>Frankenia salina – Distichlis spicata</i> Association	8212202	Alkali Heath-Salt grass
370				Temperate Pacific Intertidal Flat Group	9111100	Temperate Pacific Intertidal Flat Group
371	<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i>	<i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i>	<i>Stuckenia pectinata</i> Association	<i>Stuckenia pectinata</i> Association	9111201	Pondweed
372				<i>Ruppia (cirrhosa, maritima)</i> Herbaceous Alliance	9111300	Ditch-grass/Widgeon-grass
164			<i>Ludwigia (hexapetala, peploides)</i> Provisional Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	<i>Ludwigia (hexapetala, peploides)</i> Provisional Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	9211100	Uruguayan primrose
225	Cultivated Annual Graminoid	Cultivated Annual Graminoid mu	Cultivated Annual Graminoid mu	Row & Close Grain Crop Formation	9311000	Row & Close Grain Crop Formation
910	Landscape Trees	Landscape Trees mu	Landscape Trees mu	Temperate Tree Developed Vegetation Group	9411111	Temperate Tree Developed Vegetation Group

Appendix D

Field Forms and Protocols

(May 27, 2015)

[illegible]

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE PROTOCOL FOR
RECONNAISSANCE FIELD FORM
(May 2015)

This protocol describes the methodology for the reconnaissance technique as recorded in the Recon Field Form – Suisun Marsh dated May 27, 2015. Reconnaissance surveys (recons) are complementary to relevés and rapid assessments, but collect only a small subset of the data gathered using the more detailed methods. Recons are generally used as an aid to digital vegetation mapping, to determine the boundaries of a stand, or to illustrate a particular vegetation signature. For more background on the relevé and rapid assessment sampling methods, see the relevé and rapid assessment protocol at <http://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=18599>.

Definitions of fields in the form

I. LOCATIONAL/ENVIRONMENTAL DESCRIPTION

Recorder: The full name of the recorder should be provided for the first field form for the day. On successive forms, initials can be recorded.

Other Surveyors: The full name of each person assisting should be provided for the first field form for the day. On successive forms, initials of each person assisting can be recorded.

Date: Date of the sampling.

Return?: Check this box if team members should return to this spot at a later date to take a recon or RA/relevé. This can be used if the phenology is not conducive to identification of the major species, or if there is not enough time to take the survey.

Waypoint ID: The waypoint number assigned by a Global Positioning System (GPS) unit when marking and storing a waypoint for the sample location.

UID: The ID number of a reference point or polygon which this reconnaissance describes.

Location Name: The name of the property, park, or the location within large holdings (like USFS or BLM properties).

GPS name: The name/number assigned to the GPS unit.

Projected? Yes / No / Base / Digitized: Circle the appropriate option:

Yes - The point is a projected, or offset point. The surveyor used a bearing and distance to project the point to match what they are describing with the survey.

No - The surveyors are in the vegetation they are describing and the point is where the observer was standing for photographs. This location can also be used as a base location for an offset survey.

Base - Base point only. This is where a surveyor was standing when taking an offset survey to describe vegetation not at that point. No plant data or vegetation descriptions are associated with this location. However, cardinal photos taken at this point will be stored in a directory of this name.

Digitized – An offset point was created on the GPS unit without taking bearing and distance readings. This option should only be used when the imagery on the GPS unit is unique and unmistakable.

Bearing (°): The compass bearing from the Base point to the Projected point.

Distance (m): The distance in meters from the Base point to the Projected point, determined by use of a range finder.

Inclination (°): The vertical offset from the Base point to the Projected point.


Base Waypoint ID: For a projected or digitized point, this is the location where the surveyor was standing when the information was collected. Cardinal photographs will be taken at this point and will be stored on the computer under this ID. Photographs of the stand vegetation will be taken from this point and will be stored on the computer under the Projected point's ID.

Base / Projected UTM's: If the point is projected or digitized, circle whether the coordinates of the base point or the offset point have been recorded. These will generally be for the offset point.

PDOP: The accuracy of the GPS location. Record the error reading from the GPS unit.

GPS coordinates: Record easting (UTME) and northing (UTMN) from a GPS unit.

Stand Size: Estimate the size of the entire stand in which the sample is taken and circle the appropriate range. As a measure, one acre is similar in size to a football field.

Camera/Photos: Write the name camera, JPG numbers, and direction of photos. Take four photos in the main cardinal directions (N, E, S, W) clockwise from the north, from the GPS location. This symbol can be used to indicate the cardinal photos: . If additional photos are taken in other directions, please note the JPG numbers and a description of each photo.

II. HABITAT AND VEGETATION DESCRIPTION

Field Alliance/Association name: Name of alliance or association following the most recent Suisun Marsh classification, using scientific nomenclature, e.g., *Quercus agrifolia*. An alliance is based on the dominant or diagnostic species of the stand, and usually reflects the uppermost and/or dominant height stratum. A dominant species covers the greatest area. A diagnostic species is consistently found in some vegetation types but not others.

Please note: The field-assessed alliance name may not exist in the present classification, in which case you can provide a new alliance name in this field.

Comments: Briefly describe the stand age/seral stage, disturbance history, nature and extent of land use, and other site environmental and vegetation factors that will aid in the mapping effort.

% Cover:

Tree: The total cover of all the trees taking into consideration the porosity, or the holes, in the vegetation. This is an estimate of the absolute tree cover, disregarding the overlap¹ of individual trees.

Shrub: The total cover of all the shrubs taking into consideration the porosity, or the holes, in the vegetation. This is an estimate of the absolute shrub cover, disregarding the overlap¹ of individual shrubs.

Herb: The total cover of all the herbs taking into consideration the porosity, or the holes, in the vegetation. This is an estimate of the absolute herbaceous cover, disregarding the overlap¹ of individual herbs.

Total Veg: The total cover of all vascular vegetation taking into consideration the porosity, or the holes, in the vegetation. This is an estimate of the absolute vegetation cover, disregarding the overlap¹ of the various tree, shrub, and/or herbaceous layers and species.

Veg Ht (m): Modal height for all vegetation. Estimate the mean height and circle the appropriate height range.

Disturb.: Estimate the amount of disturbance in the stand from human activity, such as roads, trails, disking, tilling, clearing, etc.

N/A = not applicable for this polygon type

Low = 0-33% of polygon affected by disturbance

Med = 34-66% of polygon affected by disturbance

High = >67% of polygon affected by disturbance

Species List and Coverage

List the species that are dominant or that are characteristically consistent throughout the stand. This list is used if there is some uncertainty in the field-assessed alliance name, so the most common species should be listed. In the interests of time and efficiency, this species list should not be exhaustive.

Strata:

T = Tree. A woody perennial plant that has a single trunk.

A = Sapling. 1" - <6" dbh and young in age, OR small trees that are <1" dbh, are clearly of appreciable age, and are kept short by repeated browsing, burning, or other disturbance. Includes trees that are re-sprouting from roots or stumps following fire, logging or other disturbance. These re-sprouts may exhibit a shrubby form, with multiple small trunks, but are species that are generally considered trees. If a majority of the trunks are >6" dbh, then the re-sprouts would be recorded under the "Tree" stratum.

E = SEedling. A tree species clearly of a very young age that is < 1" dbh or has not reached breast height. Applies only to trees propagating from seed; re-sprouts are not recorded here even if they meet the size requirements.

S = Shrub. A perennial, woody plant, that is multi-branched and doesn't die back to the ground every year.

H = Herb. An annual or perennial that dies down to ground level every year.

N = Non-vascular. Includes moss, lichen, liverworts, hornworts, cryptogamic crust, and algae.

When one or more tree species are regenerating, the Tree, Seedling and/or Sapling strata may be noted on the same line, e.g.:

Strata	Species	%Cover	C
T/A/E	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	40/<1/<1	C

Species: Use Jepson Manual nomenclature. When uncertain of an identification (which you intend to confirm later) use parentheses to indicate what part of the determination needs to be confirmed. For example, you could write out *Brassica (nigra)* if you are sure it is a *Brassica* but you need further clarification on the specific epithet.

% cover: provide the % absolute aerial cover for each species listed. All species percent covers may total over 100% because of overlap.

Collections: If a species collection is made, it should be indicated in the blank column next to "% cover" with a "C" (for collected). If the species is later keyed out, cross out the species name or description and write the keyed species name in pen on the data sheet. Do not erase what was written in the field, because this information can be used if specimens get mixed up later. If the specimen is then thrown out, add a "T" to the "C" in that column (CT = thrown out after confirmation) or cross out the "C". If the specimen is kept but is still not confidently identified, add a "U" to the "C" (CU = collected and unconfirmed). In this case the unconfirmed species epithet should be put in parentheses [e.g. *Hordeum (murinum)*]. If the specimen is kept and is confidently identified, add a "C" to the existing "C" (CC = collected and confirmed). If the specimen is later deposited in an herbarium, add a "D" to the existing "C" (CD = collected and deposited) and note the receiving herbarium.

Accuracy Assessment - Suisun

(5/5/2016)

Recorder:		Other Surveyors:				Date:		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Waypoint ID:		GPS Name _____ Projected? Yes / No / Base / Digitized If Yes, enter: Bearing (°): _____ Distance (m): _____ Inclination (°): _____ Polygon UID: If Yes or Digitized, enter: Base Waypoint ID: _____ Base UTM's / Projected UTM's (circle one) Record either UTM's or Decimal Degrees Location Name: UTME _____ UTMN _____ PDOP: +/- Decimal degrees: LAT _____. _____ LONG _____. _____							<input type="checkbox"/>
Strata	Species	% cover	C	Strata	Species	% cover	C	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Notes:									<input type="checkbox"/>
Map Unit Name:					Secondary:				<input type="checkbox"/>
Confidence in map unit ID: L M H Explain:									<input type="checkbox"/>
Describe above:	Linework problems <input type="checkbox"/>		More than 1 vegetation type in this polygon <input type="checkbox"/>		Vegetation change since imagery taken <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>
Camera Name:		Photo #s:							<input type="checkbox"/>
Veg Height:	N/A	<0.5 m	0.5-1 m	1-2 m	2-5 m	5-10 m	>10 m	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Total Veg Cover:	N/A	<2%	2-10%	10-25%	25-50%	50-75%	>75%	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated area of identifiable vegetation viewed	Radius (m) _____ -or- rough % of polygon viewed _____ *** SEE PROTOCOL ***							<input type="checkbox"/>	
Recorder:		Other Surveyors:				Date:		<input type="checkbox"/>	
Waypoint ID:		GPS Name _____ Projected? Yes / No / Base / Digitized If Yes, enter: Bearing (°): _____ Distance (m): _____ Inclination (°): _____ Polygon UID: If Yes or Digitized, enter: Base Waypoint ID: _____ Base UTM's / Projected UTM's (circle one) Record either UTM's or Decimal Degrees Location Name: UTME _____ UTMN _____ PDOP: +/- Decimal degrees: LAT _____. _____ LONG _____. _____							<input type="checkbox"/>
Strata	Species	% cover	C	Strata	Species	% cover	C	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Notes:									<input type="checkbox"/>
Map Unit Name:					Secondary:				<input type="checkbox"/>
Confidence in map code ID: L M H Explain:									<input type="checkbox"/>
Describe above:	Linework problems <input type="checkbox"/>		More than 1 vegetation type in this polygon <input type="checkbox"/>		Vegetation change since imagery taken <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>
Camera Name:		Photo #s:							<input type="checkbox"/>
Veg Height:	N/A	<0.5 m	0.5-1 m	1-2 m	2-5 m	5-10 m	>10 m	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Total Veg Cover:	N/A	<2%	2-10%	10-25%	25-50%	50-75%	>75%	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Estimated area of identifiable vegetation viewed	Radius (m) _____ -or- rough % of polygon viewed _____ *** SEE PROTOCOL ***							<input type="checkbox"/>	

Accuracy Assessment Protocol
Suisun Marsh
4/5/2016

This protocol describes accuracy assessment (AA) data collection procedures. The primary purpose of the AA fieldwork is to supply data to test the accuracy of a specific vegetation map. The information collected can also contribute additional data for the classification of vegetation communities. The primary sampling units are the vegetation polygons delineated by photo interpreters in the creation of the vegetation map.

If an entire AA polygon cannot be fully investigated due to terrain or other reasons, as much of the polygon as can be evaluated should be assessed.

Note that a delineated polygon may differ from the conventional definition of a stand of vegetation. A stand is the basic physical unit of vegetation in a landscape. It has no set size. Some stands of vegetation are very small while some may be several square kilometers in size. A stand is defined by two main unifying characteristics:

- 1) It has compositional integrity. Throughout the site, the combination of species is similar. The stand is differentiated from adjacent stands by a discernable boundary that may be abrupt or indistinct.
- 2) It has structural integrity. It has a similar history or environmental setting that affords relatively similar horizontal and vertical spacing of plant species. For example, a hillside forest originally dominated by the same species that burned on the upper part of the slopes, but not the lower, would be divided into two stands. Likewise, sparse woodland occupying a slope with very shallow rocky soils would be considered a different stand from an adjacent slope with deeper, moister soil and a denser woodland or forest of the same species.

The structural and compositional features of a stand are often combined into a term called homogeneity. For an area of vegetated ground to meet the requirements of a stand, it must be homogeneous.

A properly delineated polygon may contain more than one stand. One example is a stand that is below the minimum mapping unit (MMU); it cannot be mapped separately and will be absorbed into the surrounding vegetation type. Another example is vegetation that is difficult to accurately identify on photo imagery. Several similar-looking stands may be grouped into one polygon and assigned a vegetation type at a high level, such as group.

Selecting a location to sample within a polygon (for subsamples only):

If assessing a large polygon, it may be difficult to summarize the species composition, cover, and structure of the entire area. We are also usually trying to capture the most information as efficiently as possible. Thus, we may be forced to select a representative portion to sample.

When taking a subsample, the main point to remember is to select an area that, in as many ways as possible, is representative of that polygon. This means that you are not randomly selecting a sample location; on the contrary, you are actively using your own best judgment to find a representative example of the polygon.

Selecting an assessment site requires that you see enough of the polygon you are sampling to feel comfortable in choosing a representative sample location. If possible, take a brief walk through the polygon and figure out where the boundary lines are drawn. Look for variations in species composition and in stand structure. In the process, decide whether the polygon includes more than one mappable vegetation type or if the stand boundaries don't seem to match up with the polygon delineation. **If more than one vegetation type is present, fill out an AA form for each type ONLY IF each type is mappable (i.e., it is large enough to meet MMU and can be delineated without creating unreasonably-shaped polygons).** For the Suisun project, the MMU is ¼ acre and the minimum width is 10 feet. Small variations in vegetation that are repeated throughout the polygon should be included in

your subsample. Once you assess the variation within the polygon, attempt to find an area that captures the stand's species composition and structural condition to sample.

NOTE: In the Suisun Marsh, it is necessary to walk through polygons of tall herbaceous vegetation. Although the tall herbs may seem dominant from a distance, shorter herbs may actually be more abundant on closer inspection. The vegetation type might key to the shorter herbs. The radius and % of polygon viewed must reflect the area actually visited for these polygons.

How to enter fields on the form:

Recorder: The full name of the recorder should be provided for the first field form for the day. On successive forms, initials can be recorded.

Other Surveyors: The full names of each person assisting should be provided for the first field form for the day. On successive forms, initials of each person assisting can be recorded.

Date: The date the AA point was sampled. Use the standard U.S. format of "month-day- year" or use letters to write out the month.

Waypoint ID: The waypoint number assigned by the GPS device when marking and storing a waypoint for the sample location.

Note that the GPS point should be taken away from the edge of the polygon, and near the center of the subsample (if one is used).

Polygon UID: The unique identifier (UID) assigned to each polygon, included in the GPS data and on paper maps.

Location Name: The name of the property, park, or the location within large holdings (like USFS or BLM properties).

GPS name: The name/number assigned to the GPS unit.

Projected? Yes / No / Base / Digitized: Circle the appropriate option

Yes - The point is a projected, or offset point. The surveyors used a bearing and distance to project the point into the polygon they are describing.

No - The surveyor is within the boundary of the polygon being assessed and the point is where the observer was standing for photographs. This location can also be used as a base location for an offset survey.

Base - Base point only. This is where a surveyor was standing when taking an offset survey to describe vegetation not at that point. No plant data or vegetation descriptions are associated with this location. However, cardinal photos taken at this point will be stored in a directory of this name.

Digitized – An offset point was created on the GPS unit without taking bearing and distance readings. This option should only be used when the imagery on the GPS unit is unique and unmistakable.

If Projected = Yes

Bearing (°): The compass bearing from the Base point to the Projected point.

Distance (m): The distance in meters from the Base point to the Projected point, determined by use of a range finder.

Inclination (°): The vertical offset from the Base point to the Projected point.

If Projected = Yes or Digitized

Base Waypoint ID: The location where the surveyor was standing when the information was collected. Cardinal photographs will be taken at this point and will be stored on the computer under this ID. Photographs of the stand vegetation will be taken from this point and will be stored on the computer under the Projected point's ID.

Base UTM's / Projected UTM's: If the point is projected or digitized, circle whether the UTM coordinates of the base point or the offset point have been recorded. These will generally be for the offset point.

UTM coordinates: Easting (**UTME**) and northing (**UTMN**) location coordinates using the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) grid. Record this information from a GPS unit.

PDOP: The accuracy of the GPS location, when taking the UTM field reading using positional dilution of precision (PDOP). It is typical for commercial GPS units to be accurate with a PDOP value of 1 to 5. The lower the error number, the more accurate the GPS reading.

Note: if your GPS device does not report accuracy in PDOP, cross this out and record the accuracy value and unit instead.

Decimal degrees: Use this only if your GPS unit will not record UTM coordinates. Latitude–Longitude reading in decimal degrees. Record the information from your GPS unit.

Species list and coverage

List up to eight species that are dominant or that are characteristically consistent throughout the stand. These species may or may not be abundant, but they should be constant representatives in the survey. When different layers of vegetation occur in the stand, make sure to list species from each stratum. As a general guide, make sure to list at least 1-2 of the most abundant species per stratum.

Strata:

T = Overstory tree. A woody perennial plant that has a single trunk.

A = Sapling. 1" - <6" dbh and young in age, OR small trees that are <1" dbh, are clearly of appreciable age, and are kept short by repeated browsing, burning, or other disturbance. Includes trees that are re-sprouting from roots or stumps following fire, logging or other disturbance. These re-sprouts may exhibit a shrubby form, with multiple small trunks, but are species that are generally considered trees. If a majority of the trunks are >6" dbh, then the re-sprouts would be recorded under the "Tree" stratum.

E = SEedling. A tree species clearly of a very young age that is < 1" dbh or has not reached breast height. Applies only to trees propagating from seed; re-sprouts are not recorded here even if they meet the size requirements.

S = Shrub A perennial, woody plant that is multi-branched and doesn't die back to the ground every year.

H = Herb An annual or perennial that dies down to ground level every year.

N = Non-vascular Includes mosses, liverworts, hornworts, and algae.

Species: Use Jepson Manual nomenclature. When uncertain of an identification (which you intend to confirm later) use parentheses to indicate what part of the determination needs to be confirmed. For example, you could write out *Brassica (nigra)* if you are sure it is a *Brassica* but you need further clarification on the specific epithet.

% cover: provide the % absolute aerial cover for each species listed. All species percent covers may total over 100% because of overlap.

C: If a species collection is made, it should be indicated with a "C" (for collected). If the species is later keyed out, cross out the species name or description and write the keyed species name in pen on the data sheet. Do not erase what was written in the field, because this information can be used if specimens get mixed up later. If the specimen is then thrown out, add a "T" to the "C" in that column (CT = thrown out after confirmation) or cross out the "C". If the specimen is kept but is still not confidently identified, add a

“U” to the “C” (CU = collected and unconfirmed). In this case the unconfirmed species epithet should be put in parentheses [e.g. *Hordeum (murinum)*]. If the specimen is kept and is confidently identified, add a “C” to the existing “C” (CC = collected and confirmed). If the specimen is later deposited in an herbarium, add a “D” to the existing “C” (CD = collected and deposited) and note the receiving herbarium.

Notes: Describe the stand age or seral stage, disturbance history, nature and extent of land use, and other site environmental and vegetation factors. Include recommendations for line-work revision, discernibility of the vegetation based on season and topography, problems with classification interpretation, homogeneity of vegetation, and unusual sightings of plants or animals.

Map Unit Name: Enter the vegetation type name here. Refer to the Suisun Marsh Vegetation Key to select the type. If the vegetation in this polygon does not exactly match the descriptions in the key, enter the best-fitting vegetation type here and the second-best type in the next field.


Secondary Map Unit Name (Optional): Assign a second-best-fitting name for the vegetation within the polygon. Assign a secondary code **only** if there is some ambiguity in assigning the polygon to a primary vegetation. Note the reason for assigning a secondary call within the “*Confidence in map unit ID*” field below.

Confidence in map unit ID? L M H Explain: Note the level of confidence you feel in the map unit identification by circling low, moderate, or high. This is an area to describe how well the stand characteristics match the Vegetation Key. Are all diagnostic species present in proper proportions? If not, how do they differ? If a secondary type is identified, what made the stand type ambiguous? **Note that if you choose low or moderate confidence, you should have a secondary call, as an alternative way to classify the vegetation.**

Linework problems: Check the box if the polygon boundary line does not surround a distinct vegetation type. Examples for which you would check the box include situations where there is more than one type of mappable vegetation within the polygon, when a portion of the boundary includes part of an adjacent stand, or when the stand continues beyond the polygon boundary. If checked, provide comments in the Notes section to explain.

More than 1 vegetation type in this polygon: Check if there is more than one vegetation type within the polygon. If the polygon includes more than one type, take a separate GPS point and fill out an AA form for each **mappable** vegetation type. If these other types are smaller than the MMU, and therefore would not be expected to be mapped, just note the additional vegetation types in the Notes section.

Vegetation change since imagery taken: Check the box if the vegetation in the polygon has changed since the aerial imagery used as the base of the vegetation map was taken. If yes, provide a description in the Notes section of how the vegetation has changed (for example: burned, developed, visible dominance change over time).

Camera Name/Photo #s: Write the name camera, JPG numbers, and direction of photos. *Take four photos in the main cardinal directions (N, E, S, W) clockwise from the north, from the GPS location.* This symbol can be used to indicate the cardinal photos: . Make sure to take additional photos of the general composition of the stand if the cardinal photos do not do an adequate job; note the JPG numbers and a description of each photo.

Veg Height: Circle the height range of the modal vegetation height.

Total Veg Cover: Circle the range of the total aerial cover of all vascular vegetation. This is an estimate of the absolute vegetation cover, disregarding overlap of the various tree, shrub, and/or herbaceous layers and species.

Estimated area of identifiable vegetation viewed:

Enter the **radius in meters** of the area around your GPS point that you were able to assess** within the polygon.

OR

Enter a rough estimate of the **percent of the polygon** that you were able to assess** from your point AND any additional area that you were able to view while driving or walking around or through the polygon.

**** For polygons that appear to be dominated by tall herbaceous vegetation, assess means actually walking through the polygon, or getting close enough to see the short herbs growing amongst the taller ones. In Suisun, it is possible to believe an entire field is the taller vegetation type when in fact most of the field would key to a shorter vegetation type.**

Appendix E

2015 Vegetation Mapping Attributes and Descriptions

All attributes were interpreted using the Suisun Marsh 2015 imagery as the base imagery. The photo interpreter should, however, use all available ancillary information in order to make the best call for each attribute. Information may be obtained from sources such as field survey and reconnaissance points from all mapping years, field photos from all mapping years and/or any imagery available (including map services such as Bing, Google Earth and Digital Globe).

The attributes for this map include three potentially different names for vegetation type. **Original_2015** is the name assigned to a polygon during the initial 2015 mapping effort. Following analysis of the original map, the Suisun vegetation hierarchy was revised and some vegetation types were consolidated into more inclusive types. **MapClass** contains names from this revised hierarchy. The MapClass was then crosswalked to a vegetation type that conforms to the structure and standards of the National Vegetation Classification System (NVCS). This name is reflected in the **NVCSName** and **NVCSLevel**.

NVCSName: The NVCS-compliant vegetation type (see description above). Since the NVCS does not include categories for human land use or otherwise unvegetated land, those descriptions were drawn from the California Wildlife Habitat Relationship.

NVCSLevel: The hierarchy level of the NVCS. Starting at the lowest, finest level, these are: association, alliance, group, macrogroup, division, formation, formation subclass, and class.

MapClass: The finest level of vegetation type mapped (alliance, association, group, macrogroup or mapping unit); or land use for polygons that are not natural vegetation. This field is the name used per the revised 2015 mapping classification.

MapClassCode: Numeric code corresponding to the MapClass.

Original_2015: The name used per the original (2012) mapping classification, before revising the map classes based on the Accuracy Assessment (see text of report for discussion)

Original_2015_Code: The mapping code associated with the 2012 vegetation name.

Cov_2015: Percent bird's-eye cover of total living vascular vegetation within a vegetation stand, broken into the following classes:

N/A: Use when the polygon is labeled with a type that is not actually vegetation (i.e., roads, sloughs, structures, urban areas, but not bare ground, which gets attributed since it may have up to 10% cover).

<2%

2-10%

10-25%

25-50%

50-75%

>75%.

Dist_2015: The percent of the polygon that has been affected by human disturbance (including disking, plowing, mowing).

N/A: Use when the polygon is labeled with a type that is not actually vegetation (i.e., roads, sloughs, structures, urban areas).

Not evident: No disturbances are visible from the aerial imagery and there is no field data.

Low: less than 33% of the polygon is affected.

Medium: between 33% and 66% of the polygon is affected.

High: More than 66% of the polygon is affected.

None: No disturbances are visible. This should only be used when there is on the ground data that says there is no disturbance to the vegetation (otherwise use "Not evident").

Note that Bare Ground gets a disturbance attribute. Many of the bare ground areas in Suisun are due to flooding late into the season, so do not base the disturbance of these areas on altered hydrologic regime, since that is what causes them. However, do base it on the amount of roads/grading, etc.

Ht_2015: Average height of the dominant vegetation within the polygon

N/A: Use when the polygon is labeled with a type that is not actually vegetation (i.e., roads, sloughs, structures, urban areas, but not bare ground, which gets attributed since it may have up to 10% cover).

<0.5 meters

0.5-1 meter

1-2 meters

2-5 meters

5-10 meters

>10 meters

Notes_2015: Any additional useful information.

ID_2015: This field identifies the method in which the photo interpreter used to determine the attributes for that polygon.

Photo interpretation

Reconnaissance

Sampled in 2006

Field Checked (post map)

Sampled in 2012

Habitat:

Tidal (1): Tidal wetlands (including muted tidal) are those areas naturally affected regularly by tidal fluctuation. The area may or may not be vegetated with vascular or non-vascular plants and may or may not have any evidence of human modification such as ditches, excavations, interrupted levees, or berms etc.

Leveed (2): leveed wetlands are those areas that are completely enclosed and are restricted from natural tidal influence.

Slough (3): Generally applied to the wide, naturally occurring (at least not linear) waterways affected by tides.

MgmtRegion: Each polygon falls within one of the four numbered management Regions described in the Suisun Marsh Habitat Management, Preservation, and Restoration Plan (USDI Bureau of Reclamation et al. 2013) and is attributed accordingly as 1, 2, 3 or 4.

Lepidium: This field identifies if *Lepidium latifolium* is present in the polygon.

UID: Unique identifier for each polygon.

CalVegName: A crosswalk to the CalVeg vegetation system. Note that there may be a one-to-many relationship between CalVeg and NVCS.

CalVegCode: The two letter code for the crosswalked CalVeg vegetation system. Note that there may be a one-to-many relationship between CalVeg and NVCS.

CWHRType: A crosswalk to the California Wildlife Habitat Relationships (CWHR) system. Note that there is usually a one-to-many relationship between CWHR and NVCS.

CWHRCode: The three letter code for the crosswalked CWHR habitat type. Note that there is usually a one-to-many relationship between CWHR and NVCS.

GlobalRank: The global rarity rank of the plant community (only for polygons mapped to the alliance level).

G1: Fewer than 6 viable occurrences and/or 2000 acres worldwide;

G2: 6-20 viable occurrences and/or 2000-10,000 acres worldwide;

G3: 21-100 viable occurrences and/or 10,000-50,000 acres worldwide;

G4: Greater than 100 viable occurrences and/or greater than 50,000 acres worldwide;

G5: Community demonstrably secure due to secure worldwide abundance.

StateRank: The state rarity rank of the plant community (only for polygons mapped to the alliance level).

S1: Fewer than 6 viable occurrences and/or 2000 acres statewide;

S2: 6-20 viable occurrences and/or 2000-10,000 acres statewide;

S3: 21-100 viable occurrences and/or 10,000-50,000 acres statewide;

S4: Greater than 100 viable occurrences and/or greater than 50,000 acres statewide;

S5: Community demonstrably secure due to secure statewide abundance.

Rare: Rarity of the vegetation type. Alliances and associations with state ranks of S1-S3 are considered rare.

Y – Rare

N – Not Rare

CaCode: California Natural Community Codes - unique code assigned to alliances and associations.

NVCSAlliance: The NVCS-compliant alliance (if applicable) which contains this vegetation type.

NVCSGroup: The NVCS-compliant group (if applicable) which contains this vegetation type.

NVCSMG: The NVCS-compliant macrogroup (if applicable) which contains this vegetation type.

Appendix F

Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat by vegetation mapping type

Note: some mapping types at group level and higher could not be rated as potential habitat.

Mapping Code	Mapping type	Potential Habitat
1	Bare Ground Mapping Unit	No
2	Agriculture	No
4	Road / Trails Mapping Unit	No
6	Slough Mapping Unit	No
7	Tidal Mudflat Mapping Unit	No
8	Railroad Track Mapping Unit	No
9	Ditch Mapping Unit	No
11	Open Water Mapping Unit	No
15	Developed Mapping Unit	No
100000	Warm Temperate Forest Formation	No
110000	Madrean Forest and Woodland Division	No
111000	California Forest and Woodland Macrogroup	No
1111000	Californian Broadleaf Forest and Woodland Group	No
1111100	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> Alliance	No
1111101	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> Association	No
1111102	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i> Association	No
1111200	<i>Quercus lobata</i> Alliance	No
200000	Cool Temperate Forest Formation	No
210000	North American Introduced Evergreen Broadleaf and Conifer Forest Division	No
211000	Introduced North American Mediterranean Woodland and Forest Macrogroup	No
2110100	<i>Eucalyptus (globulus, camaldulensis)</i> Semi-Natural Alliance	No
2110101	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Semi-Natural Association	No
2110200	<i>Ailanthus altissima</i> Semi-Natural Alliance	No
212000	Southwestern North American Riparian, Flooded and Swamp Forest Macrogroup	No
2121000	Southwestern North American Riparian Evergreen and Deciduous Woodland Group	No
2121100	<i>Salix laevigata</i> Alliance	No
2121101	<i>Salix laevigata</i> / <i>Salix lasiolepis</i> Association	No
2122000	Southwestern North American Riparian/Wash Scrub Group	Not rated
2122100	<i>Salix exigua</i> Alliance	No
2122101	<i>Salix exigua</i> Association	No
2122200	<i>Rosa californica</i> Alliance	Yes
2122210	<i>Rosa californica</i> Association	Yes
2122212	<i>Rosa californica</i> – <i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Association	Yes
2122300	<i>Baccharis salicifolia</i> Shrubland Alliance	Yes
2123000	Southwestern North American Introduced Riparian Scrub Group	No
2123100	<i>Arundo donax</i> Semi-Natural Alliance	No

Mapping Code	Mapping type	Potential Habitat
2123101	<i>Arundo donax</i> Semi-Natural Association	No
2123200	<i>Tamarix</i> spp. Shrubland Semi-Natural Alliance	No
3000000	Western North America Flooded and Swamp Forest Division	No
3100000	Western Cordilleran montane–boreal riparian scrub Macrogroup	No
3111000	Vancouverian Riparian Deciduous Forest Group	No
3111100	<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i> Alliance	No
3111101	<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i> Planted Stands Mapping Unit	No
4000000	Mediterranean Grassland and Forb Meadow Formation	Not rated
4100000	California Grassland and Meadow Division	Not rated
4110000	California Annual and Perennial Grassland Macrogroup	Not rated
4111000	Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group	Yes
4111100	<i>Brassica nigra</i> and Other Mustards Semi-Natural Alliance	No
4111101	<i>Brassica nigra</i> Semi-Natural Association	No
4111102	<i>Raphanus sativus</i> Semi-Natural Association	No
4111200	<i>Bromus (diandrus, hordeaceus)</i> – <i>Brachypodium distachyon</i> Semi-Natural Alliance	Yes
4111300	<i>Centaurea (solstitialis, melitensis)</i> Semi-Natural Alliance	No
4111400	<i>Conium maculatum</i> – <i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Semi-Natural Alliance	No
4111401	<i>Conium maculatum</i> Semi-Natural Association	No
4111402	<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i> Semi-Natural Association	No
4111500	<i>Cortaderia (jubata, selloana)</i> Semi-Natural Herbaceous Alliance	No
4111600	<i>Festuca perennis</i> Semi-Natural Alliance	Yes
4111601	<i>Festuca perennis</i> – <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Semi-Natural Association	Yes
4111602	<i>Festuca perennis</i> – <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> Semi-Natural Association	Yes
4111603	<i>Festuca perennis</i> – <i>Rumex</i> spp. Mapping Unit	Yes
4111604	<i>Hordeum marinum</i> – <i>Festuca perennis</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
4111700	<i>Elytrigia pontica</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
4111800	<i>Agrostis avenacea</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
4111900	<i>Vulpia</i> spp. – <i>Euthamia occidentalis</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
5000000	Temperate Grassland, Meadow, and Shrubland Formation	Not rated
5100000	Vancouverian and Rocky Mountain Grassland and Shrubland Division	Not rated
5110000	Western North American Temperate Grassland and Meadow Macrogroup	Not rated
5111000	Vancouverian and Rocky Mountain Naturalized Perennial Grassland Group	Not rated
5111100	<i>Phalaris aquatica</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	Yes
5111101	<i>Phalaris aquatica</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Association	Yes
5120000	Vancouverian Lowland Grassland and Shrubland Macrogroup	Not rated
5121000	Naturalized Non-Native Deciduous Scrub Group	Not rated
5121100	<i>Rubus armeniacus</i> Shrubland Semi-Natural Alliance	Yes
6000000	Temperate and Boreal Scrub and Herb Coastal Vegetation Formation	Not rated
6100000	Pacific Coast Scrub and Herb Littoral Vegetation Division	Not rated
6110000	Vancouverian Coastal Dune and Bluff Macrogroup	Not rated
6111000	California Coastal Evergreen Bluff and Dune Scrub Group	Not rated

Mapping Code	Mapping type	Potential Habitat
6111100	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> Shrubland Alliance	Yes
6111101	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i> / Annual Grass-Herb Association	Yes
6112000	California–Vancouverian Semi-Natural Littoral Scrub and Herb Vegetation Group	No
6112100	<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> or Other Ice Plants Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	No
7000000	Temperate and Boreal Freshwater Marsh Formation	Not rated
7100000	Western North American Freshwater Marsh Division	Not rated
7110000	Western North American Freshwater Marsh Macrogroup	Not rated
7111000	Arid West Freshwater Emergent Marsh Group	Not rated
7111100	<i>Typha (angustifolia, domingensis, latifolia)</i> Herbaceous Alliance	No
7111101	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Association	No
7111102	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> (dead stalks) Mapping Unit	No
7111103	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> Association	No
7111104	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	No
7111105	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Phragmites australis</i> Association	Yes
7111106	<i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	Yes
7111200	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> Alliance	Yes
7111201	<i>Schoenoplectus californicus</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus acutus</i> Association	Yes
7111202	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
7111203	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> / <i>Rosa californica</i> Provisional Association	Yes
7111300	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – <i>Typha (angustifolia, latifolia, domingensis)</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
7111400	<i>Schoenoplectus (acutus, californicus)</i> – Wetland Herbs Mapping Unit	Yes
7111401	<i>Calystegia sepium</i> – <i>Euthamia occidentalis</i> Mapping Unit	No
7111500	<i>Phragmites australis</i> Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
7111501	<i>Phragmites australis</i> Association	Yes
7111502	<i>Phragmites australis</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus</i> spp. Association	Yes
7111503	<i>Phragmites australis</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> Mapping Unit	No
7111600	<i>Alisma triviale</i> Mapping Unit	No
7112000	Vancouverian Coastal/Tidal Marsh and Meadow Group	Not rated
7112100	<i>Potentilla anserina</i> Alliance	Yes
7120000	Western North America Vernal Pool Macrogroup	Not rated
7121000	Californian Mixed Annual/Perennial Freshwater Vernal Pool/Swale Bottomland Group	Not rated
7121100	<i>Grindelia (camporum, stricta)</i> Provisional Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
7130000	Western North America Wet Meadow and Low Shrub Carr Macrogroup	Not rated
7131000	Californian Warm Temperate Marsh/Seep Group	Not rated
7131100	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> (var. <i>balticus</i> , <i>mexicanus</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
7131101	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> Association	Yes
7131102	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> – <i>Conium maculatum</i> Association	Yes
7131103	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> – <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	Yes
7131104	<i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> – <i>Potentilla anserina</i> Association	Yes
7131200	<i>Leymus triticoides</i> Alliance	Yes
7132000	Naturalized Warm-Temperate Riparian And Wetland Group	Yes

Mapping Code	Mapping type	Potential Habitat
7132100	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	Yes
7132200	<i>Persicaria lapathifolia</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> Provisional Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
7132201	<i>Polygonum</i> spp. – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
7132300	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> – <i>Crypsis</i> spp. – <i>Paspalum</i> spp. Moist Semi-Natural Alliance	Yes
7132301	<i>Crypsis schoenoides</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
7132302	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> Mapping Unit	No
7132400	<i>Polypogon monspeliensis</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
7132500	<i>Rumex</i> spp. Mapping Unit	Yes
7132600	<i>Salsola soda</i> Mapping Unit	No
8000000	Temperate and Boreal Salt Marsh Formation	Not rated
8100000	Temperate and Boreal Pacific Coastal Salt Marsh Division	Not rated
8110000	North American Pacific Coastal Salt Marsh Macrogroup	Not rated
8112000	Temperate Pacific Tidal Salt and Brackish Meadow Group	Not rated
8112100	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
8112101	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Association	Yes
8112102	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	Yes
8112103	<i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	Yes
8112200	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
8112201	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	Yes
8112202	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – Annual Grasses Association	Yes
8112203	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus arcticus</i> var. <i>balticus</i> (<i>J. arcticus</i> var. <i>mexicanus</i>) Association	Yes
8112204	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> Mapping Unit	No
8112205	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Association	Yes
8112206	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Provisional Association	Yes
8112207	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	Yes
8112208	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
8112209	<i>Distichlis spicata</i> – <i>Juncus balticus</i> – <i>Triglochin</i> spp. – <i>Glaux maritima</i> Mapping Unit	Yes
8112210	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Semi-Natural Association	Yes
8112300	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> (<i>Salicornia depressa</i>) Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
8112301	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> Tidal Association	Yes
8112302	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – Annual Grasses Association	Yes
8112303	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Association	Yes
8112304	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Crypsis schoenoides</i> Association	Yes
8112305	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	Yes
8112306	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> – <i>Polygonum</i> – <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> Association	Yes
8112307	<i>Salicornia pacifica</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	Yes
8112400	<i>Spartina foliosa</i> Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
8113000	Western North American Disturbed Alkaline Marsh and Meadow Group	Not rated
8113100	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	Yes
8113101	<i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Semi-Natural Association	Yes
8113102	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> Semi-Natural Association	Yes

Mapping Code	Mapping type	Potential Habitat
8113103	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Semi-Natural Association	Yes
8113104	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Bolboschoenus maritimus</i> Semi-Natural Association	Yes
8113105	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – <i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Semi-Natural Association	Yes
8113106	<i>Atriplex prostrata</i> – Annual Grasses Semi-Natural Association	Yes
8113200	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
8113201	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> Association	Yes
8113201	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	Yes
8113202	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> – <i>Festuca perennis</i> Association	Yes
8113203	<i>Sesuvium verrucosum</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Association	Yes
8113300	<i>Spergularia marina</i> Provisional Herbaceous Alliance	No
8113301	<i>Spergularia marina</i> – <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> Mapping Unit	No
8200000	Western North American Interior Alkali–Saline Wetland Division	Not rated
8210000	Warm Semi-Desert/Mediterranean Alkali–Saline Wetland Macrogroup	Not rated
8211000	Southwestern North American Alkali Marsh/Seep Vegetation Group	Not rated
8211100	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
8211101	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> Association	Yes
8211102	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Potentilla anserina</i> Association	Yes
8211103	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus californicus</i> – <i>Schoenoplectus acutus</i> Association	Yes
8211104	<i>Schoenoplectus americanus</i> – <i>Lepidium latifolium</i> Association	Yes
8212000	Southwestern North American Salt Basin and High Marsh Group	Not rated
8212100	<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i> Shrubland Alliance	Yes
8212200	<i>Frankenia salina</i> Herbaceous Alliance	Yes
8212201	<i>Frankenia salina</i> – Annual grasses Mapping Unit	Yes
8212202	<i>Frankenia salina</i> – <i>Distichlis spicata</i> Association	Yes
9000000	Hydromorphic Vegetation (Aquatic Vegetation) Class	No
9100000	Marine and Estuarine Saltwater Aquatic Vegetation Formation	No
9110000	Temperate Pacific Saltwater Aquatic Vegetation Division	No
9111000	Temperate Pacific Intertidal Shore Macrogroup	No
9111100	Temperate Pacific Intertidal Flat Group	No
9111200	<i>Stuckenia (pectinata)</i> – <i>Potamogeton</i> spp. Alliance	No
9111201	<i>Stuckenia pectinata</i> Association	No
9111300	<i>Ruppia (cirrhosa, maritima)</i> Herbaceous Alliance	No
9200000	North American Freshwater Aquatic Vegetation Division	No
9210000	Western North American Freshwater Aquatic Vegetation Macrogroup	No
9211000	Naturalized Temperate Pacific Freshwater Vegetation Group	No
9211100	<i>Ludwigia (hexapetala, peploides)</i> Provisional Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance	No
9310000	Herbaceous Agricultural Vegetation Subclass	No
9311000	Row & Close Grain Crop Formation	No
9312000	Fallow Field and Weed Vegetation Formation	No
9312100	Cropland Fallow Field Division	No
9312110	Fallow Field Macrogroup	No

Mapping Code	Mapping type	Potential Habitat
9410000	Herbaceous & Woody Developed Vegetation Subclass	No
9411000	Other Developed Vegetation Formation	No
9411100	Other Developed Vegetation Division	No
9411111	Temperate Tree Developed Vegetation Group	No

Appendix G

Acreage and acreage change of the potential Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse habitat in Suisun Marsh within the tidal, leveed, and whole region, within the four management regions and marsh-wide in 1999, 2012, and 2015.

Potential SMHM Habitat	Tidal							Leveed							Total						
	Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change		Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change		Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change	
	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015
Management Region 1	1529.3	2105.1	2329.6	800.3	224.5	52.3%	10.7%	11758.5	9731.6	8545.0	-3213.5	-1186.6	-27.3%	-12.2%	13287.8	11836.7	10874.6	-2413.2	-962.1	-18.2%	-8.1%
Management Region 2	1360.8	1876.2	2088.6	727.8	212.3	53.5%	11.3%	5558.4	5308.6	5321.9	-236.5	13.4	-4.3%	0.3%	6919.3	7184.8	7410.5	491.2	225.7	7.1%	3.1%
Management Region 3	448.9	605.3	597.3	148.5	-8.0	33.1%	-1.3%	3114.1	3064.4	2375.6	-738.5	-688.9	-23.7%	-22.5%	3562.9	3669.8	2972.9	-590.0	-696.9	-16.6%	-19.0%
Management Region 4	2316.5	3218.7	2643.9	327.3	-574.8	14.1%	-17.9%	21018.8	19525.1	19780.7	-1238.2	255.5	-5.9%	1.3%	23335.4	22743.8	22424.5	-910.8	-319.3	-3.9%	-1.4%
Marsh-wide	5655.5	7805.4	7659.4	2003.9	-146.0	35.4%	-1.9%	41449.8	37629.7	36023.1	-5426.7	-1606.6	-13.1%	-4.3%	47105.3	45435.1	43682.5	-3422.8	-1752.6	-7.3%	-3.9%

Appendix H

Acreage and acreage change of the non-native species of concern in Suisun Marsh within the tidal, leveed, and whole region, within the four management regions and marsh-wide in 1999, 2012, and 2015.

Species	Tidal							Leveed							Total						
	Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change		Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change		Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change	
	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015
Management Region 1																					
<i>Arundo donax</i> Association	0	0.7	2.2	2.2	1.6	N/A	238.6%	0.7	1.3	0	-0.7	-1.3	-100.0%	-100.0%	0.7	2.0	2.2	1.5	0.3	222.9%	13.2%
<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> Association	0	0	1.9	1.9	1.9	N/A	N/A	0	1.7	0	0	-1.7	N/A	-100.0%	0	1.7	1.9	1.9	0.3	N/A	16.1%
<i>Cortaderia selloana</i> Association	0	0	0.3	0.3	0.3	N/A	N/A	7.6	3.5	3.6	-4.0	0	-52.7%	1.4%	7.6	3.5	3.9	-3.7	0.4	-48.6%	10.2%
<i>Eucalyptus</i> spp.	0	0	0.9	0.9	0.9	N/A	N/A	52.6	131.6	91.0	38.4	-40.7	73.0%	-30.9%	52.6	131.6	91.8	39.2	-39.8	74.6%	-30.2%
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> *	49.6	75.9	134.1	84.5	58.1	170.4%	76.5%	224.5	201.4	183.4	-41.1	-17.9	-18.3%	-8.9%	274.1	277.3	317.5	43.4	40.2	15.8%	14.5%
Mediterranean Grasses Group**	16.9	5.6	0.5	-16.5	-5.1	-97.3%	-91.9%	667.8	257.2	80.5	-587.2	-176.7	-87.9%	-68.7%	684.7	262.8	81.0	-603.7	-181.8	-88.2%	-69.2%
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	102.8	285.1	454.6	351.9	169.5	342.4%	59.4%	82.4	215.6	162.4	80.0	-53.1	97.1%	-24.6%	185.2	500.7	617.1	431.9	116.4	233.2%	23.2%
<i>Salsola soda</i> Provisional Alliance	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	4.8	29.9	29.9	25.1	N/A	520.8%	0	4.8	29.9	29.9	25.1	N/A	520.8%
Management Region 2																					
<i>Arundo donax</i> Association	0.2	0	0	-0.2	0	-100.0%	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0.2	0	0	-0.2	0	-100%	N/A
<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> Association	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0.4	0.4	0.4	N/A	N/A	0	0	0.4	0.4	0.4	N/A	N/A
<i>Cortaderia selloana</i> Association	0.8	0	0	-0.8	0	-100.0%	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0.8	0	0	-0.8	0	-100%	N/A
<i>Eucalyptus</i> spp.	3.2	3.5	2.2	-1.0	-1.3	-30.9%	-38.1%	29.7	26.3	27.0	-2.7	0.7	-9.0%	2.7%	32.8	29.8	29.2	-3.7	-0.6	-11.1%	-2.1%
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> *	99.6	199.3	250.7	151.2	51.5	151.9%	25.8%	167.3	146.0	261.9	94.6	115.9	56.5%	79.4%	266.9	345.3	512.7	245.8	167.4	92.1%	48.5%
Mediterranean Grasses Group**	14.5	0.0	0.6	-13.9	0.6	-95.9%	N/A	103.0	29.9	36.8	-66.2	6.8	-64.3%	22.8%	117.5	29.9	37.4	-80.1	7.4	-68.2%	24.8%
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	17.2	94.8	125.8	108.6	31.0	632.2%	32.6%	53.6	224.1	226.1	172.6	2.0	322.0%	0.9%	70.8	318.9	351.9	281.2	33.0	397.3%	10.3%
<i>Salsola soda</i> Provisional Alliance	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	8.2	8.2	8.2	N/A	N/A	0	0	8.2	8.2	8.2	N/A	N/A

*Note: *Lepidium* is any vegetation type with *Lepidium* in the name, plus 2015 polygons that look like they have *Lepidium* in them, or were identified as *Lepidium* in the 2012 map.
** The Mediterranean Grasses Group in this table is a subset of the Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group for species with a height of 1-2 meters. It represents *Conium maculatum*, *Foeniculum vulgare*, and *Brassica nigra*, called "tall weeds" in the report.

2015 Vegetation Map Update for Suisun Marsh - Draft

Species	Tidal							Leveed							Total						
	Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change		Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change		Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change	
	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015
Management Region 3																					
<i>Arundo donax</i> Association	0.6	0	0	-0.6	0	-100%	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0.6	0	0	-0.6	0.0	-100%	N/A
<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> Association	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
<i>Cortaderia selloana</i> Association	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
<i>Eucalyptus</i> spp.	0	0.3	0.2	0.2	-0.1	N/A	-32.2%	1.6	6.8	7.1	5.5	0.3	350.5%	4.0%	1.6	7.1	7.3	5.7	0.2	365.1%	2.3%
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> *	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	4.1	2.2	2.6	-1.5	0.3	-36.7%	15.4%	4.1	2.2	2.6	-1.5	0.3	-36.7%	15.4%
Mediterranean Grasses Group**	1.6	0	0.7	-0.9	0.7	-54.7%	N/A	17.7	25.6	35.5	17.8	9.9	100.8%	38.6%	19.3	25.6	36.2	17.0	10.6	88.0%	41.4%
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	1.2	21.4	31.6	30.4	10.2	2531.2%	47.7%	25.1	153.9	137.0	111.9	-16.9	445.5%	-11.0%	26.3	175.2	168.5	142.2	-6.7	540.6%	-3.8%
<i>Salsola soda</i> Provisional Alliance	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A
Management Region 4																					
<i>Arundo donax</i> Association	1.1	0	0.1	-1.0	0.1	-91.4%	N/A	2.1	3.7	3.7	1.6	0	73.3%	0.8%	3.3	3.7	3.8	0.5	0.1	16.7%	3.5%
<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> Association	1.6	0.2	0.1	-1.4	-0.1	-93.1%	-56.7%	5.5	6.3	30.7	25.2	24.4	460.8%	385.0%	7.0	6.6	30.8	23.8	24.2	338.4%	368.4%
<i>Cortaderia selloana</i> Association	1.2	1.8	2.2	1.0	0.3	83.3%	18.1%	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0	36.2%	17.7%	1.4	2.0	2.4	1.0	0.4	77.2%	18.1%
<i>Eucalyptus</i> spp.	9.5	3.4	4.5	-5.1	1.0	-53.2%	30.0%	111.6	159.9	156.0	44.4	-3.9	39.8%	-2.4%	121.1	163.4	160.5	39.4	-2.9	32.5%	-1.7%
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> *	26.4	5.5	107.6	81.2	102.1	307.6%	1858.5%	384.7	153.9	202.0	-182.7	48.1	-47.5%	31.2%	411.1	159.4	309.6	-101.5	150.2	-24.7%	94.2%
Mediterranean Grasses Group**	1.5	0.0	3.1	1.6	3.1	108.7%	N/A	443.3	16.8	268.8	-174.5	252.0	-39.4%	1499.7%	444.8	16.8	271.9	-172.9	255.1	-38.9%	1518.1%
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	240.4	492.9	570.8	330.4	77.9	137.4%	15.8%	170.4	998.5	1238.6	1068.2	240.1	626.7%	24.0%	410.8	1491.4	1809.4	1398.6	318.0	340.4%	21.3%
<i>Salsola soda</i> Provisional Alliance	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	28.9	28.9	28.9	N/A	N/A	0	0	28.9	28.9	28.9	N/A	N/A

*Note: *Lepidium* is any vegetation type with *Lepidium* in the name, plus 2015 polygons that look like they have *Lepidium* in them, or were identified as *Lepidium* in the 2012 map.

** The Mediterranean Grasses Group in this table is a subset of the Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group for species with a height of 1-2 meters. It represents *Conium maculatum*, *Foeniculum vulgare*, and *Brassica nigra*, called "tall weeds" in the report.

Species	Tidal							Leveed							Total						
	Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change		Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change		Acres			Change in Acres		Percent Change	
	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999	2012	2015	1999-2015	2012-2015	1999-2015	2012-2015
Marsh-wide																					
<i>Arundo donax</i> Association	1.9	0.7	2.3	0.4	1.7	23%	253.3%	2.8	5.0	3.7	0.9	-1.3	31.1%	-25.6%	4.7	5.7	6.1	1.3	0.4	27.9%	6.9%
<i>Carpobrotus edulis</i> Association	1.6	0.2	2.0	0.5	1.8	31%	718.9%	5.5	8.0	31.0	25.6	23.1	467.5%	289.1%	7.0	8.2	33.1	26.0	24.8	370.9%	302.0%
<i>Cortaderia selloana</i> Association	2.0	1.8	2.5	0.5	0.6	24%	35.2%	7.8	3.8	3.8	-4.0	0.1	-50.7%	2.2%	9.8	5.6	6.3	-3.5	0.7	-35.5%	13.1%
<i>Eucalyptus</i> spp.	12.7	7.3	7.7	-4.9	0.4	-39%	6.1%	195.4	324.6	281.1	85.7	-43.5	43.8%	-13.4%	208.1	331.9	288.8	80.7	-43.1	38.8%	-13.0%
<i>Lepidium latifolium</i> *	175.5	280.7	492.4	316.9	211.7	181%	75.4%	780.6	503.5	649.9	-130.7	146.4	-16.7%	29.1%	956.2	784.2	1142.3	186.2	358.1	19.5%	45.7%
Mediterranean Grasses Group**	34.5	5.6	4.9	-29.6	-0.7	-86%	-12.9%	1231.8	329.6	421.6	-810.2	92.0	-65.8%	27.9%	1266.3	335.2	426.5	-839.8	91.3	-66.3%	27.2%
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	361.6	894.3	1182.8	821.2	288.5	227%	32.3%	331.6	1592.0	1764.2	1432.6	172.2	432.1%	10.8%	693.1	2486.3	2947.0	2253.9	460.7	325.2%	18.5%
<i>Salsola soda</i> Provisional Alliance	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	4.8	67.0	67.0	62.2	N/A	1292.3%	0	4.8	67.0	67.0	62.2	N/A	1292.3%

*Note: *Lepidium* is any vegetation type with *Lepidium* in the name, plus 2015 polygons that look like they have *Lepidium* in them, or were identified as *Lepidium* in the 2012 map.

** The Mediterranean Grasses Group in this table is a subset of the Mediterranean California Naturalized Annual and Perennial Grassland Group for species with a height of 1-2 meters. It represents *Conium maculatum*, *Foeniculum vulgare*, and *Brassica nigra*, called "tall weeds" in the report.

Appendix I

Accuracy Assessment Contingency Table

[illegible]